arXiv:1611.01921v1 [math.NT] 7 Nov 2016

An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$: summary of parts I and II

David Jarossay

Abstract

This is a review of our study of the crystalline pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid of $\mathbb{P}^1 - (\{0,\infty\} \cup \mu_N)$ ([Jn], $n \in \{1,\dots,12\}$). This review is restricted to the parts I and II of this study ([Jn], $n \in \{1,\dots,6\}$), and to $N = 1$.
The part I ([J1],[J2],[J3]) is an explicit computation of the Frobenius. The part II ([J4],[J5],[J6]) is an explicit algebraic study of p-adic multiple zeta values, which are associated with the Frobenius. The theory is centered around the notion of *harmonic Ihara action*, defined in [J2], [J3]. The harmonic Ihara action is connected to the usual Ihara action, which is a byproduct of a motivic Galois action ; it has three different incarnations related to each other by "comparison" maps ; it enables to express our explicit formulas for the Frobenius, which relate p-adic multiple zeta values and certain sequences of multiple harmonic sums to each other ; it then also enables to understand jointly the algebraic properties of these two objects.
We try to emphasize the ideas and the philosophy of this work. We review its context and its motivations (§1) and, after a few technical preliminaries (§2), our general strategy (§3). We state most of the main results of part I (§4) and of part II (§5), and we also summarize and motivate the methods of the proofs. We conclude on the main messages of the theory (§6).

Keywords. periods, pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid, iterated integrals, crystalline Frobenius, unipotent F-isocrystals, the projective line minus three points, p-adic multiple zeta values, harmonic Ihara action, multiple harmonic sums, finite multiple zeta values, double shuffle relations

1 Context and motivation

We introduce the two general notions that we will study : periods, and the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid (§1.1, §1.2), then the particular example of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$ and multiple zeta values, and its p-adic aspects (§1.3, §1.4).

1.1 Periods

1.1.1 Definition

There are several possible ways to define periods.

Definition 1.1. (See [Gr1], [Hu-MS]) [1] Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over $\mathbb{Q}$, and D a normal crossings divisor. One can associate to them :

- The algebraic De Rham cohomology $H^{\mathrm{DR}}(X, D)$ of X relative to D, which is a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of finite dimension
- The rational Betti cohomology $H^B(X, D)$ of $X(\mathbb{C})$ relative to $D(\mathbb{C})$, which is a graded $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space of finite dimension
- The isomorphism of comparison comp : $H^{\mathrm{DR}}(X, D) \otimes_k \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{\sim} H^B(X, D)^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C}$, defined by $(\omega, \Delta) \mapsto \int_\Delta \omega$.

The coefficients of any matrix of the isomorphism of comparison associated with a couple of bases of $H^{\mathrm{DR}}(X, D)$ and $H^B(X, D)^\vee$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ are called the periods of (X, D).

Definition 1.2. (Kontsevich-Zagier, [KoZ], §1.1) A period is a complex number whose real and imaginary parts are values of absolutely convergent integrals of rational functions with rational coefficients, over domains in $\mathbb{R}^n$ given by polynomial inequalities with rational coefficients.

Example 1.3. All algebraic numbers are periods, and one can replace above "rational" by "algebraic". $2i\pi = \int_\gamma \frac{dz}{z}$, where γ is a simple counterclokwise loop around 0 in $\mathbb{C}$, is a period of $\mathbb{A}^1 - \{0\}$. For $r \in \mathbb{Q}^{+*}$, $\log(r) = \int_1^r dt/t$ is a period. Conjecturally, $e = \exp(1)$ is not a period.

The set of periods is countable. In particular, there are complex numbers that are not periods.

There exists similarly a notion of p-adic periods : it arises from the comparison between De Rham cohomology and étale cohomology, resp. crystalline cohomology and étale cohomology [Fa1], [Fa2] ; p-adic periods are elements of Fontaine rings [Fo]. In this text, we will consider only the image in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of certain elements of Fontaine rings (see §1.4.1) and study them through the concept of pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid (reviewed in §1.2) thus the notion of p-adic periods is not needed technically for our purposes.

1.1.2 The conjecture of periods and motivic Galois actions

The theory of motives, initiated by Grothendieck, aims for a unification of the cohomology theories of algebraic geometry. Several different categories of motives have been constructed so far (see [An1], [An2] for reviews).

[1] This definition is not the most general one.

Let us assume that we have such a category of motives M, with the following properties. First, for a (X, D) as above, the triple $(H^{\mathrm{DR}}(X, D), H^B(X, D), \mathrm{comp})$ lifts to an object $H^M(X)$ in M, and one has functors of "Betti realization" and "De Rham realization" ω_B, ω_{DR} from M to the category of graded finite dimensional vector spaces over $\mathbb{Q}$, which send $H^M(X) \mapsto H^{\mathrm{DR}}(X)$ and $H^M(X) \mapsto H^{\mathrm{B}}(X)$. Let us assume moreover that ω_{DR} and ω_B make M into a neutral Tannakian over $\mathbb{Q}$ (see [De3], or [An1] §2, for generalities on Tannakian categories [2]) : M is then equivalent to the category of representations of an affine algebraic group over $\mathbb{Q}$: $G_B = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\omega_B)$, resp. $G_{DR} = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$. The scheme $P = \underline{\mathrm{Isom}}^{\otimes}(\omega_{DR}, \omega_B)$ is a torsor over G_B and G_{DR}. G_B and G_{DR} are called *motivic Galois groups*, and their action on P is called a *motivic Galois action* ; the isomorphism comp is a point of P over $\mathbb{C}$.
The important *conjecture of periods* of Grothendieck (unpublished), is that "every polynomial relation over $\mathbb{Q}$ between periods is of geometric origin" and is reflected the framework above. More precisely, the previous facts imply that the transcendence degree of the extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the periods of (X, D) is bounded by the dimension of the motivic Galois group and we have :

Conjecture 1.4. (see [An1], [An2], [Hu-MS] for precise statements) In a context as above, comp is a generic point of the torsor of periods, and the transcendence degree of the extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ generated by the periods of (X, D) is equal to the dimension of the motivic Galois group.

A different formulation of the conjecture of periods is as follows (Kontsevich-Zagier, [KoZ], §4.1). Let P_{formal}, the algebra of "formal periods", be the algebra generated the equivalence classes of quadruples (X, D, ω, γ) where (X, D) is as in Definition 1.1, $\omega \in \Omega^d(X)$, $\gamma \in H_d(X(\mathbb{C}), D(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Q})$, $d = \dim(X)$, modulo relations defined by the operations of linearity of integral, changes of variables and Stokes formula. Let us denote by $P_{num} \subset \mathbb{C}$ the algebra of ("numerical") periods of Definition 1.2.

Conjecture 1.5. (Kontsevich-Zagier, [KoZ], §4.1) The map from $P_{formal} \to P_{num}$ sending the equivalence class of (X, D, ω, γ) to $\int_\gamma \omega$ is injective.

1.2 The pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid

The pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid π_1^{un} is the collection of several functors, which send certain algebraic varieties to certain groupoids over them : $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,B}}$ (Betti), $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}$ (De

[2] A $\otimes$-category over a commutative ring F is a category $\mathcal{T}$ equipped with a functor $\otimes : \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}$, and a unit object 1, which is "associative, commutative, unitary" ; a $\otimes$-cateory is said to be rigid when it has a functor $\mathcal{T} \to \mathcal{T}^{op}$ called autoduality which satisfies certain axioms ([An1], §2.2.2).
If $\mathcal{T}$ be an abelian rigid $\otimes$-category over a field F, a fiber functor over $\mathcal{T}$ is a faithful and exact $\otimes$-functor $\mathcal{T} \to \mathrm{Vect}(K)$, where K is a field extension of F. If a fiber functor exists, $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be Tannakian and the K-affine group scheme $G = \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^{\otimes}(\omega)$ is called the Tannakian group of $\mathcal{T}$ attached to ω. It is compatible with base-change by field extensions of K.
If there exists a fiber functor with $K = F$, $\mathcal{T}$ is said to be neutral Tannakian, and ω defines an equivalence of $\otimes$-rigid categories $\mathcal{T} \to Rep_F(G)$, where $Rep_F(G)$ is the category of finite dimensional representations of G. ([An1], §2.3.2).

Rham), $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}$ (crystalline), $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un},l}$ (l-adic) ; some correspondences between them ; and, finally, a functor $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,mot}}$ (motivic) whose realizations (in the sense of the realizations of a motive) are some of the previous ones ; for certain purposes, "motivic" can be replaced by "Hodge". This notion has been defined by Deligne [De2] and has been extended by Goncharov [Go2] and Deligne-Goncharov [DeGo].

1.2.1 The Betti and De Rham realizations and the comparison

The concept of π_1^{un} relies on the Tannakian point of view on the topological fundamental groupoid, and the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence (see for example [De1], and also [De2], §10.10-§10.13).

Definition 1.6. (Deligne, [De2], §13.5, §10.30 ii)) Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over a field k of characteristic zero ; let us view X as $\overline{X} - D$, where $\overline{X}$ is a projective smooth variety over K and D is a normal crossings divisor ; then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X)$ is the fundamental groupoid associated with the Tannakian category $C^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X)$ of vector bundles with integrable connection, with logarithmic singularities at D, and which are unipotent.

Definition 1.7. (Deligne, [De2], §13.6) Same hypothesis plus the existence of an embedding $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Then $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,B}}(X)$ (relative to this embedding) is the algebraic unipotent envelope of the topological fundamental groupoid of $X(\mathbb{C})$, in the sense of [De2], §10.24.

Theorem 1.8. (Deligne, [De2], equation (10.33.4) and §10.43 [3]) Under the previous hypothesis, the Riemann-Hilbert correspondence implies an isomorphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,B}}(X) \otimes \mathbb{C}$.

1.2.2 The p-adic aspects

In the p-adic world, one has Tannakian definitions similar to Definition 1.6, where "bundle with connection" is replaced by "F-isocristal" in the sense of [BerO], [O1], or [Kat]. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. By Shiho [Sh1], [Sh2], if (X, M) is a fine log-scheme over k satisfying certain conditions ([Sh1], §4) one has a notion of (log-)crystalline pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(X_0)$. Alternatively, by Chiarellotto and Le Stum [ChiL], if X_0 is separated scheme of finite type over k, one has a notion of rigid pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,rig}}(X_0)$.
Finally, by Deligne ([De2], §11) one has a notion of crystalline Frobenius of the De Rham π_1^{un}. Let us review briefly [De2], §11.9 - §11.12. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$, $W(k)$ its ring of Witt vectors, K the field of fractions of $W(k)$; let $S = \mathrm{Spec}(W(k))$. Let X be a smooth algebraic variety over S, $X_K = X \times_S \mathrm{Spec}(K)$; assume that $X = \overline{X} - D$, with $\overline{X}$ smooth over S and D a relative normal crossings divisor, sum of smooth divisors. Let σ be the Frobenius automorphism of $W(k)$, $F = \sigma^* : S \to S$, $X^{(p)}$ the pull-back of X by F, and $X_K^{(p)} = X^{(p)} \times_S \mathrm{Spec}(K)$. One has a notion of pull-back by Frobenius

[3] π_1^{un} is compatible with base-change ([De2], §10.36-§10.43) ; note that this is not true for its variant defined without the condition of unipotence of the bundles ([De2], §10.35)

$F^*\mathcal{V}$ of certain bundles with integrable connection $\mathcal{V}$ over X ([De2] Definition 11.10). It gives rise to a K-linear functor $F^*_{X/K} : C^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)}) \to C^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ ([De2], equation (11.11.1)), where $C^{\mathrm{un,DR}}$ is in the sense of Definition 1.6. There exists an isomorphism of groupoids, which is horizontal with respect to the connexions ($\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}$ is an initial object of the category of groupoids with integrable connection : [De2], §10.49, in the sense of [De2], §10.28) : [De2], equation (11.11.2)

$$F_{X/K*} : \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} F^*_{X/K}(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K^{(p)}))$$

Definition 1.9. (Deligne, [De2], §13.6) Under the assumptions above, the crystalline Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ is $\phi = (F_{X/K})_*^{-1}$.

Thus, the crystalline π_1^{un} of $X_0 = X \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(W(k))} \mathrm{Spec}(k)$ is $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ equipped with ϕ. We will follow this point of view in this text. By contrast, in the two other points of view, there is a theorem of comparison involving $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$ when X_0 is liftable.

1.2.3 Relation with cohomology

A theorem of Beilinson (unpublished, see [Go1], §4, Theorem 4.1 and [DeGo], §3, Proposition 3.4) expresses $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un},B}(X)$ in terms of Betti cohomology groups of $X(\mathbb{C})^n$ relative to certain divisors for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$. This theorem has variants for other realizations of the π_1^{un}, which are compatible with certain structures on cohomology ([Go1], §4). This is the starting point of the definition of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,mot}}$ ([Go1], §4 and [DeGo], §3-§4). Thus, the π_1^{un} is actually a very specific part of the cohomology of algebraic varieties.
When X is defined over $k \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, one can by §1.2.1 talk about the periods of the Betti-De Rham comparison of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(X)$.

1.3 $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$ and multiple zeta values

1.3.1 $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ and the motivation for the π_1^{un}

Despite the generality of the definition of the π_1^{un}, the paper [De2] is entitled *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, which can be explained as follows (see the introductions of [De2] and of [I]). The origin of the notion of π_1^{un} comes from the desire to understand $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ via its action on geometric objects ; a theorem of Belyi [Bel] states that the injectivity of the natural map from $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ to the group $\mathrm{Out}(\widehat{\pi_1}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})(\mathbb{C}))$ of outer automorphisms of the pro-finite completion of $\pi_1(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})(\mathbb{C}))$. Grothendieck has suggested in [Gr2] a way to understand the image of this map by studying more generally the outer action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ on the $\widehat{\pi_1}$ of moduli spaces $\mathcal{M}_{g,n}$ of curves of genus g with n marked points ; and, in particular, $\mathcal{M}_{0,4} \simeq \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$, $\mathcal{M}_{0,5}$, $\mathcal{M}_{1,1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{1,2}$. In [De2], Deligne has proposed to replace in this program the pro-finite completion of the π_1 by its pro-unipotent completion, which is still equipped with an action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$. The advantage of π_1^{un} comes from its proximity with cohomology,

already established in previous works ; whence also a second type of motivation for the π_1^{un}, related to motives and periods.

1.3.2 Multiple zeta values and their expressions as periods, including as Betti-De Rham periods of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$

Multiple zeta values were discovered, in one and two variables, by Euler, and were forgotten during more than two centuries. Their reapparition in the 1990's is partly due to the role that they play in quantum field theory.

Definition 1.10. (Euler-Zagier) Multiple zeta values are the following numbers, for $s_d, \ldots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, such that $s_d \geq 2$:

$$\zeta(s_d, \ldots, s_1) = \sum_{0<n_1<\ldots<n_d} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \ldots n_d^{s_d}} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1}$$

The weight of $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ is $s_d + \ldots + s_1$, and the depth of $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ is d. One recognizes the values at positive integers of the Riemann zeta function as the $d = 1$ case. It has been noticed by Kontsevich that we have, for all $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$,

$$\zeta(s_d, \ldots, s_1) = (-1)^d \int_{0<t_1<\ldots<t_{s_d+\ldots+s_1}<1} \wedge_{i=1}^{s_d+\ldots+s_1} \frac{dt_i}{t_i - \epsilon_i} \tag{2}$$

where $(\epsilon_{s_d+\ldots+s_1}, \ldots, \epsilon_1) = (\underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{s_d-1}, 1, \ldots, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{s_1-1}, 1)$. This makes multiple zeta values into periods in the sense of Definition 1.2. What one wants, then, is to build out of this observation a framework which enables to apply the tools of algebraic geometry to study multiple zeta values according to the problematics of §1.1. There are two canonical ways to do this ; only the second one will be used in this text.

- By a procedure of blowing-up starting from equation (2), one obtains an expression of each multiple zeta value $\zeta(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ as a period in the sense of Definition 1.1, of a relative cohomology group $H^n(\overline{\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}} - A, B - A \cap B)$, where $n = s_d + \ldots + s_1$, $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}}$ is the Deligne-Mumford compactification of $\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$ and A and B are some unions of irreducible components of the normal crossings divisor $\partial\overline{\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}} = \overline{\mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}} - \mathcal{M}_{0,n+3}$, depending on $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ [GoMan].

- An integral over a simplex such as the one of (2) is called an *iterated integral*, and is the typical expression of a period of the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid. Actually, the work of Chen on iterated integrals [Che] is a sort of preliminary theory of the π_1^{un}. Equation (2) makes multiple zeta values as Betti-De Rham periods of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$ at tangential base-points $(-\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$ (see §2.3.3 for a precise statement.)

1.3.3 The question of studying multiple zeta values as periods

The problem of understanding the polynomial equations over $\mathbb{Q}$ between periods (§1.1.2) applies to multiple zeta values. The main objects of this topic, which we will use in this theory, are the motivic Galois action which is given by an explicit formula, or certain substitutes to it, and explicit families of polynomial equations which conjecturally generate the whole of the ideal of polynomial equations of multiple zeta values. We will review these objects in §2.2 - §2.3.
The results of the type "non-existence of a given polynomial equation" are the transcendence of π (and thus the one of $\zeta(2s) = \frac{|B_{2s}|\pi^{2s}}{2(2s)!}$, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$), Apéry's result that $\zeta(3) \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and other results such as Rivoal-Ball's theorem that the values $\zeta(s)$ with $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$ odd generate an infinite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space. It is conjectured that all multiple zeta values are transcendental numbers ; more precisely, the theory started out with the following conjecture :

Conjecture 1.11. (Zagier) For each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_s$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by multiple zeta values of weight s (by convention $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ is generated by $\zeta(\emptyset) = 1$). Then :
i) The $\mathcal{Z}_s$ ($s \in \mathbb{N}$) are in direct sum.
ii) The dimensions of the $\mathcal{Z}_s$ are given by the formula : $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \dim(\mathcal{Z}_s)\Lambda^s = \frac{1}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$.

Note that we have $\mathcal{Z}_s\mathcal{Z}_t \subset \mathcal{Z}_{s+t}$ for all s, t (by the two shuffle equations recalled in §2.3.5) [4].
The framework of algebraic geometry has enabled to prove that we have $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \dim(Z_s)\Lambda^s \leq \frac{1}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$, [5] [T], [Go1] which is a special case of the majoration reviewed in §1.1.2. However, the inequality $\geq$ is out of reach.

1.4 Motivations for the explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$

The main subject of this text is $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(X_0)$ with $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}/\mathbb{F}_p$, and more specifically, the families (one for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$) of numbers $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s_d, \ldots, s_1) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ called p-adic multiple zeta values defined through it.

1.4.1 Nature of p-adic multiple zeta values

Unlike real multiple zeta values, defined through the comparison of two realizations of the π_1^{un}, p-adic multiple zeta values are defined as the numbers in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ expressing canonically (in a sense reviewed in §2) the crystalline Frobenius of Definition 1.9 iterated $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$ times, applied to $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}/\mathbb{Z}_p$, at the base-points $(\vec{1}_0, -\vec{1}_1)$ (see §2.4.2 for the precise definition). The problematics of p-adic multiple zeta values are similar to those of multiple zeta values, and we have :

Conjecture 1.12. (for $\alpha = 1$, Deligne-Goncharov, [DeGo], §5.28)
For each $s \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{Z}_s^{(p,\alpha)}$ be the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space generated by numbers $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(w)$ with w of

[4] and that the conjectural homogeneity of algebraic relations with respect to the weight expressed by i) of Conjecture 1.11 does not remain true if we replace weight by depth : we have $\zeta(3) = \zeta(2,1)$

[5] i.e. for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, $\dim(\mathcal{Z}_s) \leq$ the coefficient of Λ^s in $\frac{1}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$

weight s (where $\mathcal{Z}_0 = \mathbb{Q}$ generated by $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(\emptyset) = 1$).
i) The $\mathcal{Z}_s^{(p,\alpha)}$ are in direct sum.
ii) We have $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \dim(Z_s^{(p)})\Lambda^s = \frac{1-\Lambda^2}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$.
iii) The polynomial equations over $\mathbb{Q}$ of p-adic multiple zeta values are those of multiple zeta values plus the consequences of the vanishing of the p-adic analogue of $\zeta(2)$.

We have, like in the real framework, $Z_s^{(p,\alpha)} Z_t^{(p,\alpha)} \subset Z_{s+t}^{(p,\alpha)}$ for all s, t (by the two shuffle equations whose p-adic analogues are recalled in §2.4.3).
By unpublished work of Yamashita, p-adic multiple zeta values in this sense are the images of some p-adic periods living in the ring $B = \oplus_{s=0}^{\infty}(B_{crys} \cup \mathrm{Fil}^0 B_{dR})^{\varphi=p^s}$, by the canonical map $B \to \mathbb{Q}_p$. Yamashita's work contains a statement which implies $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \dim(Z_s^{(p,\alpha)})\Lambda^s \leq \frac{1-\Lambda^2}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$. The inequality $\geq$ is out of reach like in the real case.
Aside from their intrinsic interest, a long-term motivation for studying p-adic multiple zeta values is that it is believed that this should be necessary to understand certain aspects of real multiple zeta values. We now explain two more precise and shorter-term motivations ; both of them are related to the fact that, unlike for multiple zeta values, there is a priori no straightforward way to compute explicitly p-adic multiple zeta values (see §2.4.3).

1.4.2 The quasi-shuffle relation and Deligne-Goncharov's question

One of the standard families of algebraic equations between multiple zeta values, also proved for p-adic multiple zeta values, is the so-called quasi-shuffle (sometimes called "stuffle") relation (see §2.3.5, §2.4.3), whose example in depth $(1,1)$ is

$$\zeta(s)\zeta(t) = \zeta(s+t) + \zeta(s,t) + \zeta(t,s)$$

For real multiple zeta values, the quasi-shuffle relation is a direct consequence of the formula of series of (1) combined to a canonical way of writing a product of two sets $\{n_1 < \ldots < n_d\} \subset (\mathbb{N}^*)^d$, $\{n'_1 < \ldots < n'_{d'}\} \subset (\mathbb{N}^*)^{d'}$ as a disjoint union of sets of the same type in $(\mathbb{N}^*)^{d+d'}$: in depth $(1,1)$ see equation (3) below. The fact that it holds for p-adic multiple zeta values can be proved without explicit formulas (see §2.4.3) but suggests the following question :

Question 1.13. (Deligne-Goncharov, [DeGo], §5.28)
"*Il serait aussi intéressant de disposer pour ces coefficients d'expressions p-adiques qui rendent clair qu'ils vérifient des identités du type [...]*

$$\sum \frac{1}{k^n} \sum \frac{1}{l^m} = \sum_{k>l} \frac{1}{k^n}\frac{1}{l^m} + \sum_{l>k} \frac{1}{k^n}\frac{1}{l^m} + \sum_{l=k} \frac{1}{k^{n+m}}" \tag{3}$$

i.e. they ask to compute explicitly p-adic multiple zeta values in a way which enables a p-adic analogue of the proof of the quasi-shuffle relation for real multiple zeta values.

1.4.3 Finite multiple zeta values and Kaneko-Zagier's conjecture

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of prime numbers, and let $\mathcal{A}$ be the ring of "integers modulo infinitely large primes" [Ko], which is of characteristic zero :

$$\mathcal{A} = \Big(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\Big)/\Big(\bigoplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\Big) = \Big(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\Big)/\Big(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\Big)_{\text{tors}} \simeq \Big(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}\Big) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$$

where tors refers to the torsion subgroup. We have an embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Definition 1.14. (Zagier, unpublished) Finite multiple zeta values are the following numbers, for $(s_d, \dots, s_1) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^d$, $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$:

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(s_d, \dots, s_1) = \text{ the image of } \left(\sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d<p} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}} \mod p \right)_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \text{ in } \mathcal{A} \qquad (4)$$

This notion is close to other notions and ideas that appeared earlier in the work of several authors, in particular Hoffman [Ho] and Zhao [Zh]. The explanation for this terminology is the following :

Conjecture 1.15. (Kaneko-Zagier) The following correspondence defines an isomorphism of $\mathbb{Q}$-algebras from the algebra generated by finite multiple zeta values to the algebra generated by multiple zeta values modulo $(\zeta(2))$ [6] :

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(s_d, \dots, s_1) \mapsto \sum_{d'=0}^{d} (-1)^{s_{d'+1}+\dots+s_d} \zeta(s_{d'+1}, \dots, s_d)\zeta(s_{d'}, \dots, s_1) \mod \zeta(2) \qquad (5)$$

This conjecture is striking, both because it involves the ring $\mathcal{A}$ and through the explicit formula. Comparing it to Conjecture 1.12 and writing

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{(x_p)_p \in \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Q}_p \mid v_p(x_p) \geq 0 \text{ for p large}\right\} \Big/ \left\{(x_p)_p \in \prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Q}_p \mid v_p(x_p) \geq 1 \text{ for p large}\right\}$$

enables to imagine a relation between finite multiple zeta values and p-adic multiple zeta values, which could be an accessible part of the conjecture and partially explain it. This suggests, by extrapolating a little more, the existence of readable explicit formulas for p-adic multiple zeta values.

[6] By Definition 1.10, multiple zeta values $\zeta(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ are defined when $s_d \geq 2$ whereas by Definition 1.14 finite multiple zeta values $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ are defined for all $s_d \geq 1$. When $s_d = 1$, one has a notion of "regularized" $\zeta(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ defined by replacing the right-hand side of (1) or (2) by a regularized version (see [Ra] for a review of the regularizations). The two regularizations of $\sum_{d'=0}^{d} (-1)^{s_{d'+1}+\dots+s_d} \zeta(s_{d'+1}, \dots, s_d)\zeta(s_{d'}, \dots, s_1)$ are the same modulo $\zeta(2)$ so the map (5) is well-defined.

1.4.4 The initial technical problematic

By §1.4.1, §1.4.2 and §1.4.3, we are motivated to solve the problem of computing explicitly p-adic multiple zeta values, and we have in mind that a test of the success of the computation would be to see whether it enlightens in some way the questions of §1.4.2 and §1.4.3.
It is granted at least that there is at least a certain way to compute p-adic multiple zeta values explicitly, by the overconvergence of the differential equation satisfied by the Frobenius (§2.4.3). To our knowledge, not much is granted regarding the form of the answer. We will see that there exists a primary form of answer which looks complicated, but that, by introducing certain particular principles of computation (§3) combined to certain ideas of p-adic analysis (§4.2), we can obtain different, simpler and more exploitable formulas ; this will also lead us to a larger problematic.

2 Preliminaries on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$

We recall some elements of explicit description of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$, which make concrete the problematic of computing p-adic multiple zeta values. The harmonic Ihara action, which we will define in §4.2-§4.3, is a variant of the Ihara action which we review in §2.2.

2.1 Explicit description of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$

In this paragraph, X is $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ over any field k of characteristic zero. The canonical base-point ω_{DR} is defined by [De2], §12.4 ; the tangential base-points are defined by [De2], §15 and for $u \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$, T_u means the tangent space of $\mathbb{P}^1$ at u.

2.1.1 The groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$ and its canonical base-point ω_{DR}

For each element x of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}(k) \bigcup \Big(\cup_{u \in \{0,1,\infty\}} (T_u - \{0\})(k) \Big)$, one has a tensor functor "fiber at x" : $C^{\mathrm{un,DR}} \to \mathrm{Vect}(k)$, thus a base-point of the fundamental groupoid, which we will denote also by x.
For each couple (x, y) of base-points, one has a pro-affine scheme $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y, x)$ over $\mathbb{Z}$: it is, by definition, the scheme of tensor automorphisms between the fiber functors x and y. The points of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y, x)$ are called De Rham paths from x to y.
For each triple (x, y, z) of base-points one has a morphism of schemes $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, z, y) \times \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y, x) \to \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, z, x)$ called the groupoid multiplication.
When $x = y$, $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, x) = \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, x, x)$ is a group scheme. The groupoid multiplication makes each $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y, x)$ into a bi-torsor under $(\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, x), \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y))$.

The functor "global section" : $\omega_{\mathrm{DR}} : C^{\mathrm{un,DR}} \to \mathrm{Vect}(k)$ is a tensor functor (this is because $H^{1,\mathrm{DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = 0$), and for each base-point x, we have a canonical isomorphism $x \simeq \omega_{\mathrm{DR}}$. As a consequence, we have a pro-affine scheme $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$, with, for each (x, y), a canonical isomorphism $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}}) \simeq \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X, y, x)$ and a canonical path

${}_y1_x$ of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X,y,x)$, compatibly with the groupoid structure : $({}_z1_y)({}_y1_x) = {}_z1_x$.
In particular, describing explicitly the groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\})$ reduces to describe explicitly the group scheme $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\},\omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$.

2.1.2 Explicit description of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\},\omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$

The shuffle Hopf algebra is a functor from the category of (finite) sets to the category of graded Hopf algebras over $\mathbb{Q}$. We need only the following example.

Proposition 2.1. The $\mathbb{Q}$-vector space $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} = \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$, freely generated by words on $\{e_0,e_1\}$ including the empty word is, with the following operations $\text{ш}, \Delta_{\mathrm{dec}}, \epsilon, S$, a Hopf algebra over $\mathbb{Q}$, graded by the number of letter of words called their weight :

- the shuffle product $\text{ш} : \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$ defined by $e_{i_{l+l'}} \dots e_{i_{l+1}} \text{ ш } e_{i_l} \dots e_{i_1} = \sum_\sigma e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(l+l')}} \dots e_{i_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}$, where the sum is over permutations σ of $\{1,\dots,l+l'\}$ such that $\sigma(l) < \dots < \sigma(1)$ and $\sigma(l+l') < \dots < \sigma(l+1)$
- the deconcatenation coproduct $\Delta_{\mathrm{dec}} : \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \otimes \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$, defined by $\Delta_{\mathrm{dec}}(e_{i_l} \dots e_{i_1}) = \sum_{l'=0}^{l} e_{i_l} \dots e_{i_{l'+1}} \otimes e_{i_{l'}} \dots e_{i_1}$
- the counit $\epsilon : \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \to \mathbb{Q}$ equal to the augmentation map of $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$,
- the antipode $S : \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}} \to \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$, defined by $S(e_{i_l} \dots e_{i_1}) = (-1)^l e_{i_1} \dots e_{i_l}$.

Definition 2.2. $\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$ is called the shuffle Hopf algebra over the alphabet $\{e_0,e_1\}$.

Proposition 2.3. (follows from [De2], §12, Proposition 12.7, Corollaire 12.9) The group scheme $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}})$ is pro-unipotent and is canonically isomorphic to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X,\omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$.

We will denote in the same way $e_0, e_1 \in \mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}}$ and their duals below. Let K a field containing k, and $K\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$ be the non-commutative algebra of formal power series with variables e_0, e_1. An element f of $K\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$ can be written uniquely as $f = \sum_{w \text{ word over } \{e_0,e_1\}} f[w]w$ with $f[w] \in K$ for all w. The notation $f[w]$ extends to linear combinations of words by linearity.

Proposition 2.4. $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{\text{ш}})(K)$ is the group of elements of $K\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$ satisfying the following property called the shuffle equation : for all words w, w', $f[w]f[w'] = f[w \text{ ш } w']$.

2.1.3 The fundamental torsor of paths and its connection

The groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\})$ is an initial object of the category of groupoids with integrable connection (by [De2], §10.49), in the sense of [De2], §10.28. It follows from [De2], §12 that :

Proposition 2.5. The connection on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\})$ is, in the sense of §7.30.2$_S$, the map

$$\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}} : f \mapsto f^{-1}\Big(df - (e_0\frac{dz}{z} + e_1\frac{dz}{z-1})f\Big)$$

Definition 2.6. ∇_{KZ} is called the Khnizhnik-Zamolodchikov connection.

2.2 A motivic Galois group and the Ihara action on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$

We now review how the Tannakian framework of the motivic Galois theory of periods evoked in §1.1.2 applies to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$, following [DeGo].

2.2.1 Mixed Tate motives and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,mot}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$

Let k be a number field. By Levine [L], one has a Tannakian category $\mathrm{MT}(k)$ of mixed Tate motives over k and, for S a set of finite places k, one has a Tannakian category $\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ of mixed Tate motives over $\mathcal{O}_S$, which is a subcategory of $\mathrm{MT}(k)$. They are described in [DeGo], §1,§2.
The De Rham realization functor $\mathrm{DR} : \mathrm{MT}(k) \to \mathrm{Vect}_k$ is canonically isomorphic to the extension of scalars from $\mathbb{Q}$ to k of the "canonical realization functor" ω ([DeGo], Proposition §2.10). The motivic Galois group $G^\omega = \mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega)$ associated with ω on $\mathrm{MT}(\mathcal{O}_S)$ with S as above has a semi-direct product decomposition $G^\omega = \mathbb{G}_m \ltimes U^\omega$ where U^ω is a pro-unipotent group scheme, described explicitly in [DeGo], Proposition 2.2.
The groupoid $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,mot}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$ is defined as a groupoid over $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ in affine schemes in $\mathrm{MT}(\mathbb{Q})$ ([DeGo], §3.12, §3.13, §4), where the notion of affine schemes in a Tannakian category is reviewed in [DeGo], §2.6.

2.2.2 Description of the action of G^ω on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$; the Ihara action

The motivic Galois group G^ω associated with $\mathrm{MT}(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$. We review a partial description of it.

• The action of $\mathbb{G}_m \subset G^\omega$ expresses the weight grading : it provides the motivic way to formulate the conjecture that all polynomial relations between multiple zeta values are homogeneous for the weight (Conjecture 1.11, i)) :

Definition 2.7. Let τ be the action of $\mathbb{G}_m$ on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$ which sends $(\lambda, f = \sum_{w \text{ word}} f[w]w)$ to $\sum_{w \text{ word}} \lambda^{\mathrm{weight}(w)} f[w]w$.

• The action of U^ω on has been computed by the Hodge realization by Goncharov : [Go1], Theorem 6.4, Theorem 6.5 [7]. What we are interested in is a certain byproduct of this action, the *Ihara action*, defined by Ihara in [I]. We explain here following [DeGo] how it can be retrieved from the motivic setting. We will denote, following [DeGo], §5, by $\Pi_{1,0} = \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$, and by $\Pi_{0,0}$, $\Pi_{0,1}$, $\Pi_{1,0}$ the other similar schemes, where we associate 1 with $-\vec{1}_1$ and 0 with $-\vec{1}_0$.

[7] The Hodge realization functor of the categories of mixed Tate motives is fully faithful : [DeGo], Proposition 2.14 ; thus this result in the Hodge realization computes the motivic Galois action

Definition 2.8. ([DeGo], §5.6 - §5.9) Let V_ω be the group of automorphisms of the four schemes $\Pi_{0,0}, \Pi_{1,0}, \Pi_{0,1}, \Pi_{1,1}$ which preserve the groupoid multiplication and fix $\exp(e_0)$ at $(0,0)$ and $\exp(e_1)$ at $(1,1)$.

Theorem 2.9. ([DeGo], equation (5.10.3)) There exists a morphism $\mathbb{G}_m \ltimes U_\omega \to \mathbb{G}_m \ltimes V_\omega$, compatible to the semi-direct product decompositions.

Theorem 2.10. ([DeGo], Proposition 5.11)
i) $\Pi_{1,0}$ is a V_ω-torsor, and we thus have an isomorphism of schemes $v \mapsto v({}_1 1_0)$ (where ${}_1 1_0 = {}_{-\vec{1}_1} 1_{\vec{1}_0}$ is the canonical path as in §2.1.1).
ii) More precisely, for v a point of V_ω and $g = v({}_1 1_0)$, the action of v on $\Pi_{0,0}$ is $g \mapsto (f \mapsto f(e_0, g^{-1} e_1 g))$ and the action of v on $\Pi_{1,0}$ is $g \mapsto (f \mapsto g.f(e_0, g^{-1} e_1 g))$.
iii) The map $(g, f) \mapsto g.f(e_0, g^{-1} e_1 g)$ defines a group law on $\Pi_{1,0}$.

Definition 2.11. The group law above is called the Ihara group law. We denote it[8] by $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}$.

2.3 Betti-De Rham comparison of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$ and multiple zeta values

We choose the tangential base-point $\vec{1}_0$ as the origin of paths of integration.

2.3.1 Multiple polylogarithms and their series expansion at 0

Definition 2.12. Multiple polylogarithms on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ are multivalued holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}(\mathbb{C})$ solutions to the connection ∇_{KZ} of §2.1.3 : for each γ a path [9] in $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ starting at $\vec{1}_0$, let [10]

$$\mathrm{Li}(\gamma) = 1 + \sum_{\substack{s \in \mathbb{N}^* \\ i_s, \dots, i_1 \in \{0,1\}^s}} \left(\int_\gamma \frac{dz}{z - i_s} \dots \frac{dz}{z - i_1} \right) e_{i_s} \dots e_{i_1} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, z, \vec{1}_0)$$

Indeed, $\mathrm{Li}(\gamma)$ depends only on the homotopy class of γ ; it defines a function on the topological π_1, and then on the $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,B}}$ by the completion map.

[8] This notation is not standard.

[9] in the extended sense which includes tangential base-points : γ is a differentiable path $[0,1] \to \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ such that for $t \in]0,1[$, we have $\gamma(t) \in (\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})(\mathbb{C})$; if $\gamma(0) \in \{0,1,\infty\}$, then the tangential base-point is $\gamma'(0)$; similar definition at 1. The notions of homotopy and of topological fundamental group extend to this framework and the completion appearing in Definition 1.7 applies to them.

[10] The notation $\int_\gamma \omega_{i_s} \dots \omega_{i_1}$ is a short for the iterated integral over γ of the word $\omega_{i_s} \dots \omega_{i_1}$, read from the right to the left, as in formula (2)

2.3.2 Series expansion of multiple polylogarithms ; multiple harmonic sums

Proposition 2.13. Let $w = e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|z| < 1$, we have, by taking a "straight" path from 0 to z, [11]

$$\mathrm{Li}[w](z) = \sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d} \frac{z^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}} \tag{6}$$

We retrieve the formula (1) for multiple zeta values when $z \to 1$: see §2.3.3 below. We are led to consider intrinsically the coefficients of this series expansion :

Definition 2.14. i) Let weighted multiple harmonic sums be the numbers

$$\mathrm{har}_n(s_d, \dots, s_1) = n^{s_d+\dots+s_1} \sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d<n} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}}$$

ii) Let prime weighted multiple harmonic sums be the weighted multiple harmonic sums of the form $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ with p a prime number and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$.

The adjective weighted refers to the factor $n^{s_d+\dots+s_1}$ [12]. By (6) we have, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathrm{har}_n(w) = \tau(n)\,\mathrm{Li}[e_0^l e_1 w][z^n] = \tau(n) \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{Li}[w][z^m] \tag{7}$$

where $[z^r]$ means the coefficient of degree r in the series expansion.

2.3.3 Expression of multiple zeta values as Betti-De Rham periods of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$

Definition 2.15. ([Dr], §2, [DeGo], §5.18)
Let $\mathrm{dch} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,B}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{C})$ be the image, by the completion map of Definition 1.7, of the homotopy class of the straight path $\gamma : [0, 1] \to [0, 1]$. Let Φ_{KZ} be the image of dch by the Betti-De Rham comparison isomorphism of Theorem 1.8. Φ_{KZ} is called the KZ Drinfeld associator (the origin of this terminology comes from [Dr]).

Proposition 2.16. Equation (2) is equivalent to : for all $(s_d, \dots, s_1)$ such that $s_d \geq 2$, [13]

$$\zeta(s_d, \dots, s_1) = (-1)^d \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1]$$

2.3.4 Conjecture of periods for multiple zeta values

The conjecture of periods in the case of multiple zeta values amounts to say that all polynomial eqsuations of multiple zeta values are preserved by the motivic Galois action evoked in §2.2.2 (when this holds it is said that they "are motivic").

[11] Note that $\mathrm{Li}[e_1](z) = -\log(1-z)$ and $\mathrm{Li}[e_0](z) = \log(z)$

[12] this terminology has been defined in [Ro] in a particular case

[13] The other coefficients of Φ_{KZ} are expressed in terms of multiple zeta values as follows : for all $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1e_0^r] = \sum_{\substack{r_d,\dots,r_1 \geq 0 \\ r_d+\dots+r_1=r}} (\prod_{i=1}^d \binom{-s_i}{l_i})\zeta(s_d + l_d, \dots, s_1 + l_1)$.

2.3.5 Explicit algebraic relations of multiple zeta values and Ihara action ; focus on double shuffle relations

One has three standard families of explicit algebraic relations over $\mathbb{Q}$ between multiple zeta values, each of them is conjectured to generate all their algebraic relations : they are called respectively the associator relations, the regularized double shuffle relations, and the Kashiwara-Vergne relations. (See [Fu3] for a review of these three notions and [Dr] for the definition of associators). They are known to be motivic (see [So] [14], [Fu3]). They are related to each other by various implications [AET], [AT], [Fu4], [Sch].
In this text we will focus on the regularized double shuffle relations. They are

- two notions of regularized multiple zeta values, extending the Definition 1.10 of multiple zeta values : $\zeta_{ш}(w) = \Phi_{\mathrm{KZ}}[w]$ for all words w on e_0, e_1, and $\zeta_*(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ for all words $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ including $s_d = 1$, and a formula relating $\zeta_{ш}$ and ζ_* (see [Ra] for details [15])

- the shuffle relation, satisfied by all points of $\mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{O}^{ш})$ (Proposition 2.4) : for all words w, w', $\zeta_{ш}(w)\zeta_{ш}(w') = \zeta_{ш}(w \text{ ш } w')$. This also follows from equation (2) combined to the canonical way to write a product $\{0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n < 1\} \times \{0 < x'_1 < \ldots < x'_{n'} < 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n+n'}$ as a disjoint union of simplices of $\mathbb{R}^{n+n'}$ up to sets of measure 0. (first example : $\int_{0<x_1<1} \times \int_{0<x'_1<1} = \int_{0<x_1<x'_1<1} + \int_{0<x'_1<x_1<1}$)

- the quasi-shuffle (or stuffle) relation, already described in §1.4.3 : it is expressed by the following formula : for all words w, w' whose furthest to the right letter is e_1 $\zeta_*(w)\zeta_*(w') = \zeta_*(w * w')$ where $*$ is a bilinear map $\mathcal{O}^{ш} \times \mathcal{O}^{ш} \to \mathcal{O}^{ш}$ which lifts the canonical expression of a product $\{0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d\} \times \{0 < n'_1 < \ldots < n'_{d'}\} \subset \mathbb{N}^d \times \mathbb{N}^{d'}$ as a disjoint union of "simplices" of $\mathbb{N}^r$, $r \in \{\min(d, d'), \ldots, d + d'\}$ (first example : $\sum_{0<n_1} \times \sum_{0<n'_1} = \sum_{0<n_1=n'_1} + \sum_{0<n_1<n'_1} + \sum_{0<n'_1<n_1}$)

For the three standard families of algebraic relations, the Ihara action from §2.2.2 appears as an elementary substitute to a motivic Galois action. More precisely, for each field K of characteristic zero and $\mu \in K$, one has [Ra] a pro-affine scheme DMR_μ of solutions φ to the regularized double shuffle equations and the condition $\varphi[e_0 e_1] = \mu$, with

Theorem 2.17. (Racinet, [Ra]) The Ihara action $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}$ makes DMR_0 into a group scheme and DMR_μ into a torsor under this group scheme.

[14] Although this paper concerns the other definition of motivic multiple zeta values arising from [GoMan] evoked in §1.3.2, which is conjecturally equivalent to the notion of motivic multiple zeta values arising from $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$

[15] $\zeta_{ш}$ is defined by replacing an iterated integral from 0 to 1 by an iterated integral from ϵ to $1 - \epsilon'$, writing an asymptotic development when $\epsilon, \epsilon' \to 0$ (this depends on a choice of tangential base-points and one takes $\vec{1}_0$ and $-\vec{1}_1$) and considering the constant term of the asymptotic development ; similarly, ζ_* is obtained by replacing the infinite sum over $0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d$ by a truncated sum over $0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d < n$, writing an asymptotic development when $n \to \infty$ and considering the constant term of this asymptotic developement (except for $\zeta_*(1)$, usually defined as 0 instead of the Euler constant). The notions ζ, $\zeta_{ш}$, ζ_* agree on words $(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$ with $s_d \geq 2$

One has similar results for the two other standard families of relations (see [Dr] for associators, and [Fu3] for a general review including a comparison with the motivic framework.)

2.4 Crystalline aspects of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$

Let p be a prime number. The definition of the crystalline Frobenius ϕ of the De Rham π_1^{un} reviewed in §1.2.2 applies to $X_0 = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}/\mathbb{F}_p$ and its lift $X = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}/\mathbb{Z}_p$; we will also denote by $X_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}/\mathbb{Q}_p$. When $k = \mathbb{F}_p$, the Frobenius of k is $(x \mapsto x^p) = \mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{F}_p}$, thus the Frobenius σ of $W(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p$, which is the unique automorphism lifting it, is $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which will represent the number of iterations of ϕ.

2.4.1 The Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ at $(-\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$ and p-adic multiple zeta values

There are two different, but conjecturally arithmetically equivalent, notions of p-adic multiple zeta values. The first one is the following (where τ is defined in §2.2.2) :

Definition 2.18. (For $\alpha = 1$, Deligne-Goncharov, [DeGo], §5.28 [16]).
Let $\Phi_{p,\alpha} = \tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha({}_1 1_0) \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We call p-adic multiple zeta values the numbers[17]

$$\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s_d, \dots, s_1) = (-1)^d \Phi_{p,\alpha}[e_0^{s_d - 1} e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1 - 1} e_1]$$

These numbers provide a natural way of expressing the Frobenius at $(-\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)$:

Proposition 2.19. (Deligne-Goncharov, [DeGo], §5.28)
$\tau(p)\phi$ restricted to base points in the set $\{-\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0\}$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-point of V_ω from Definition 2.8. Thus, by Proposition 2.10, for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\tau(p^\alpha)\phi^\alpha$ restricted to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is the map $f \mapsto \Phi_{p,\alpha} f(e_0, \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha})$

The second definition is based on the theory of Coleman integration, initiated in [Co], and generalized and formulated in a Tannakian way by Besser [Bes] and Vologodsky [V] ; one of its main result is the existence and unicity of paths invariants by Frobenius in the rigid π_1^{un}.

Definition 2.20. (Furusho, [Fu1], [Fu2])
Let $\Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}} \in \pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the unique path invariant by the Frobenius. We call p-adic multiple zeta values the numbers $\zeta_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}(s_d, \dots, s_1) = (-1)^d \Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0^{s_d - 1} e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1 - 1} e_1]$.

Conjecturally, all versions of p-adic multiple zeta values satisfy the same algebraic relations, which should be the ones of multiple zeta values "modulo $\zeta(2)$", as recalled in §1.4.1.

[16] Aside from our work, we encountered only $\alpha = \pm 1$, where $\alpha = -1$ refers to ϕ^{-1}. The definition also makes sense for any ϕ^α with $\alpha \in -\mathbb{N}^*$

[17] One sometimes encounters in the literature the variant of this definition without the factor $\tau(p^\alpha)$

2.4.2 The Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ on the fundamental torsor of paths starting at $\vec{1}_0$ and p-adic multiple polylogarithms

In general, to write the Frobenius of a $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_K)$, one must consider an open affine covering of the rigid analytic space $\overline{X_K}^{\mathrm{an}}$ over K and write the differential equation of Frobenius in coordinates. Here, it is sufficient to consider the open affine $U^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \{|z-1|_p < 1\}$, as suggested by [De2], §19.6 and [U2]. [18] Let the four following $\mathbb{Q}_p$-algebras of functions on U^{an} : $A^{\dagger}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, the algebra of overconvergent rigid analytic functions ; $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, the algebra of rigid analytic functions ; $A^{\mathrm{Col}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, the algebra of Coleman functions ; $A^{\mathrm{loc}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, the algebra of locally analytic functions. We have $A^{\dagger}(U^{\mathrm{an}}) \subset A^{\mathrm{rig}}(U^{\mathrm{an}}) \subset A^{\mathrm{Col}}(U^{\mathrm{an}}) \subset A^{\mathrm{loc}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ [19].

Definition 2.21. Let the overconvergent p-adic multiple polylogarithm $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$ be the map $z \mapsto \tau(p^{\alpha})({}_z1_0)$ (the fundamental bundle of paths being trivialized at $\vec{1}_0$, it can be seen as an element of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \vec{1}_0)(A^{\dagger}(U^{\mathrm{an}})))$.

We have :

Proposition 2.22. The Frobenius $\tau(p^{\alpha})\phi^{\alpha}$ on the fundamental torsor of paths (let us say on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \vec{1}_0)(A^{\mathrm{Col}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})))$ is

$$f \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(z)(e_0,e_1).f(z^{p^{\alpha}})(e_0, \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})$$

In particular, the Frobenius iterated α times is entirely characterized by the couple $(\zeta_{p,\alpha}, \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha})$.
An essential theorem of Coleman integration is the existence and uniqueness of a primitive in algebras of Coleman functions up to an additive constant[20].

Definition 2.23. (Furusho, [Fu1], Definition 2.9) Let $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ be the unique Coleman function on $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}$ characterized by $\nabla_{\mathrm{KZ}}(\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}) = 0$, $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}[w](0) = 0$ for w a word of the form ue_1, and $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z)[e_0] = \log_p(z)$. [21]

This definition can be recasted in terms of Frobenius-invariant paths on the π_1^{un} ([Fu2], Theorem 2.3). By this definition, the series expansion of $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ is also expressed in terms of multiple harmonic sums, like in Proposition 2.13 :

[18] U^{an} contains 0 and ∞ ; it is chosen instead of $\mathbb{P}^1 - \{|z-\infty|_p < 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}}$ which contains 0 and 1 because the good lift of Frobenius on it, $z \mapsto z^p$ is simpler and more adapted to our purposes than the good lift of Frobenius on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}}$, which is $z \mapsto z^p$ conjugated by $z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1}$, the unique homography sending $(0,1,\infty) \mapsto (0,\infty,1)$

[19] In particular, the elements of these four algebras have absolutely convergent power series expansions at 0. Elements of $A^{\mathrm{Col}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ are characterized uniquely by their series expansion at 0. The values of elements of $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(U^{\mathrm{an}})$ at any point are described explicitly in terms of the series expansion at 0, see §4.1.3.

[20] which of course does not hold for algebras of locally analytic functions because the p-adic topology is totally disconnected

[21] Thus $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ is relative to the choice of a branch of $\log_p$ (we also have $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z) = -\log_p(1-z)$). The statements reviewed in this text are true for any branch of $\log_p$.

Proposition 2.24. (Furusho, [Fu1], Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.10 (1)) For $|z|_p < 1$, for $s_d, \dots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, the right-hand side below is absolutely convergent and we have :

$$\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z)[e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1] = \sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d} \frac{z^{n_d}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_d^{s_d}} \tag{8}$$

The topological field $\mathbb{C}_p$ is totally disconnected and the disks $\{|z|_p < 1\}$ and $\{|z-1|_p < 1\}$ are disjoint. Thus it does not make sense to take $|z|_p \to 1$ in equation (8), and we see why an immediate computation of p-adic multiple zeta values from (8) is prevented, which contrasts with the complex case where the limit $z \to 1$ of equation (6) gives the formula (1) for multiple zeta values as sums of series.
The fact that the Frobenius commutes to the connection (§1.2.2) is equivalent to the following differential equation, which is sometimes referred to as the equation of horizontality of Frobenius.

Proposition 2.25. (Deligne, [De2], §19.6 ; Furusho, [Fu2], Theorem 2.14) We have the following equivalent equations :

$$\phi^\alpha(\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}) = \mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}} \tag{9}$$

$$\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}(z)(e_0, e_1) \quad \mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K}^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z^{p^\alpha})\Big(e_0, \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha}\Big) = \mathrm{Li}_{p,X_K}^{\mathrm{KZ}}(z)(p^\alpha e_0, p^\alpha e_1) \tag{10}$$

$$d\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger} = \Big(p^\alpha\omega_0(z)e_0 + \omega_1(z)e_1\Big)\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger} - \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}\Big(\omega_0(z^{p^\alpha})e_0 + \omega_1(z^{p^\alpha})\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha}\Big) \tag{11}$$

The formal properties of Frobenius imply finally :

Proposition 2.26. ([U2], §5.2) We have, with $e_0 + e_1 + e_\infty = 0$,

$$e_0 + \Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha} + \mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}(\infty)^{-1}e_\infty\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}(\infty) = 0 \tag{12}$$

Since $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w] \in A^\dagger(U^{\mathrm{an}})$, it makes sense to express $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w](\infty)$ in terms of the series expansion of $\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w]$ at 0. Through equation (12), this should lead to a computation of $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$. This is the strategy initiated very briefly in [De2], §19.6 in depth one, and initiated in depth one and two in [U2].

2.4.3 Known results on the algebraic theory of p-adic multiple zeta values

Yamashita's (unpublished) work evoked in §1.4.1 contains the existence of a crystalline realization functor of the categories of mixed Tate motives (which was asked in [DeGo], §5.28), and, as a byproduct, of a map sending Goncharov's motivic multiple zeta values [Go1] to the lift of p-adic multiple zeta values in $\oplus_{s=0}^{\infty}(B_{crys} \cup \mathrm{Fil}^0 B_{dR})^{\varphi=p^s}$ evoked in §1.4.1.
It is known that p-adic multiple zeta values satisfy the regularized double shuffle relations [BesF], [FuJ], and that they satisfy the associator relations [U1]. Thus, (by [AET], [AT], [Sch]) they also satisfy Kashiwara-Vergne relations.

3 Principles of the study and notations

We explain a few principles (§3.1) and facts, definitions and notations (§3.2, §3.3), about multiple harmonic sums and $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$, on which our work will rely.

3.1 Three frameworks of computations : DR, DR − RT and RT ; and the comparison between them

3.1.1 Two types of computations associated to relations between multiple polylogarithms

The Conjecture 1.15 on finite multiple zeta values leads to ask why, and how, certain sequences of multiple harmonic sums, maybe not only the ones defining finite multiple zeta values, should be equivalent to periods. Given Grothedieck's period conjecture, we must ask ourselves how multiple harmonic sums are "related to geometry". The basic answer to this question is given by §2.3.2 : multiple harmonic sums are essentially the coefficients of the series expansions of multiple polylogarithms at 0, both in the complex case (Proposition 2.13) and in the p-adic case (Proposition 2.24), the connection ∇_{KZ} being of course the same in the two frameworks.
Most of the algebraic relations of multiple zeta values, which are conjecturally reflected in finite multiple zeta values by Conjecture 1.15, are actually consequences of equations satisfied by multiple polylogarithms : this is for example the case for double shuffle relations, and also for associator relations. Thus, translating these equations on the coefficients of the series expansions of multiple polylogarithms should give certain equations on multiple harmonic sums, and it would not be surprising that they explain at least partially the analogy between real and finite multiple zeta values.

Principle 3.1. With any equation of multiple polylogarithms, one can associate two kinds of computations, and they may not necessarily be trivially equivalent to each other
i) one by taking limits at tangential base-points (in our case, $z \to 1$).
ii) one by considering coefficients of the series expansions at the origin of paths of integration (in our case, at 0).

This simple principle combined to ideas of p-adic analysis will actually guide us both in parts I and II.

3.1.2 Computations on multiple harmonic sums without any reference to π_1^{un} and comparison

Any result of any explicit computation of p-adic multiple zeta values, based on the differential equation satisfied by the Frobenius (Proposition 2.25), should be necessarily, as follows from the discussion in §2.4.2, expressed in terms of multiple harmonic sums. Thus multiple harmonic sums are rational numbers defining a computational bridge between complex and p-adic multiple zeta values.

This motivates to study multiple harmonic sums intrinsically, and not only with the perspective of finding algebraic equations evoked above. They make sense by themselves, independently from the π_1^{un} ; given a property of multiple harmonic sums arising from the π_1^{un}, is there a natural way to retrieve it without making any reference to the π_1^{un} ? And vice-versa ?
We will keep these questions in mind since it is regularly fruitful to compute an object in two different ways and to compare the two computations. This general principle, of comparing two different incaranations of a mathematical object, is also at the center of the notion of periods.

3.1.3 DR, DR - RT and RT

The computations will lead us to define several objects, and we will add to the notations for them two types of symbols :
We will add the symbol RT to the notations for objects arising from computations of multiple harmonic sums. RT can be viewed as a short for "rational", "Rham-Taylor", or "rigid-Taylor" : it refers to a framework where periods are replaced by certain sequences of multiple harmonic sums, which are rational numbers ; they are defined essentially as sequences of Taylor coefficients, up to factors $\frac{1}{\text{degree!}}$, of the fundamental solution in $\mathbb{Q}[[z]][\log(z)]\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$ of the connection ∇_{KZ} of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$; and finally, certain equations that we will write enable to retrieve the Frobenius structure of the ∇_{KZ}, defined in the rigid framework.
We will add the symbol DR to the notations of objects arising from computations on multiple polylogarithms ; we use DR and not (log-)crys nor rig in order to be coherent with our choice between the three possible p-adic frameworks made in §1.2.2 ; it would nevertheless have been possible to replace DR by crys or rig.

By §3.1.1 and §3.1.2, we have three different frameworks of computations, and, as a conclusion :

Notation 3.2. We associate :

- the symbol DR to computations on multiple polylogarithms involving usual operations on them, including taking limits at tangential base-points, but except from taking series expansions.
- the symbol DR - RT to the computations involving series expansion of multiple polylogarithms at 0, and thus multiple harmonic sums viewed in this way
- the symbol RT to the computations on multiple harmonic sums made without any reference to the $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$

we keep in mind the idea of comparing these three types of computations to each other when it is possible.

3.2 Framework for weighted multiple harmonic sums

3.2.1 The variant $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$

Equation (7) suggests that the correspondence $(s_d, \dots, s_1) \leftrightarrow e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$, used for the indices of multiple zeta values and multiple polylogarithms, is not relevant for multiple harmonic sums. Instead, we adopt the variant $(s_d, \dots, s_1) \leftrightarrow e_0^l e_1 e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$ for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$. We can extend the definition of multiple harmonic sums to words whose furthest to the right letter is e_0 as follows : let l_f be a formal variable ; for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, we define [22]

$$\mathrm{har}_n(s_d, \dots, s_1; r) = \sum_{\substack{r_{d+1},\dots,r_1 \geq 0 \\ r_{d+1}+\dots+r_1=r}} \binom{-l_f}{r_{d+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \binom{-s_i}{r_i} \mathrm{har}_n\left(s_d + r_d, \dots, s_1 + r_1\right)$$

Let (where i) below will be motivated by I-3) :

Definition 3.3. For any ring A,
i) Let $A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}} = \{f \in A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \mid \text{ for all words w, for all } l \geq 1, f[e_0^l w] = 0\}$
ii) Let $A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}} = \{f \in A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \mid \text{for all words w, } f[e_0^l w] \text{ is independent of l}\}$
iii) Let $A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}} = \oplus_{\substack{d\in\mathbb{N} \\ s_d,\dots,s_1\in\mathbb{N}^* \\ r\in\mathbb{N}}} A.(s_d, \dots, s_1; r)$

We have obvious isomorphisms between these three modules, and we will use the notation $A\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$ to refer to any of them.
A function $w \mapsto \mathrm{har}_n(w)$ can thus be seen as an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p[l_f]\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$; for most purposes, it is however sufficient replace it by its image, which is in $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$, by the continuous linear map $\mathbb{Q}_p[l_f]\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}_p[l_f]\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$ which sends all words of the form ue_1 to themselves and all other words to 0. We adopt this last point of view in the rest of this text.

3.2.2 Other notations and conventions

Notations 3.4. Sequences of weighted multiple harmonic sums are viewed and denoted in the following way :
i) For any word w, and for any $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $\mathrm{har}_I(w) = (\mathrm{har}_n(w))_{n\in I}$.
ii) For any $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, har_n is the sequence $(\mathrm{har}_n(w))_{w \text{ word}}$ viewed in the sense of the discussion above as an element of $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$.
iii) For any $I \subset \mathbb{N}$, we denote by har_I the sequence $(\mathrm{har}_n(w))_{n\in I,\, w \text{ word}}$, and view it as an element of $\mathrm{Map}(I, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}})$.

[22] l_f reflects $l \in \mathbb{N}^*$ in a word $e_0^{l-1}e_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$; we have $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0^{l-1}e_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1e_0^r] \equiv \sum_{\substack{r_{d+1},\dots,r_1\geq 0 \\ r_{d+1}+\dots+r_1=r}} \binom{-l_f}{r_{d+1}} \prod_{i=1}^{d} \binom{-s_i}{r_i} \mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0^{l-1+r_{d+1}}e_1e_0^{s_d+r_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1+r_1-1}e_1]$ modulo $\log_p(z) = \mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}[e_0](z)$

3.2.3 Bounds of p-adic valuations of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums

The prime weighted multiple harmonic sums (Definition 2.14) will play a special role in this theory ; they satisfy the following property :

Remark 3.5. Let p a prime number and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$. For all words $w = (s_d, \dots, s_1)$, we have $v_p(\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)) \geq \mathrm{weight}(w)$. Moreover, the reduction modulo p of $p^{-\mathrm{weight}(w)}\,\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)$ is independent of α - and the image of $\left(p^{-\mathrm{weight}(w)}\,\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)\right)_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \in \prod_p \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ in $\mathcal{A}$ is the finite multiple zeta value $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(w)$. [23]

By this remark, all weight-adically convergent sums of $\mathbb{Q}$-linear combinations of sequences $\mathrm{har}_I(w)$ of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums ($I \subset \mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}$) with reasonable rational coefficients are also p-adically convergent. Such objects will be omnipresent in this work.

3.3 Topologies on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$

Let $\mathcal{W}(e_0,e_1)$, resp. $\mathcal{W}_s(e_0,e_1)$, $\mathcal{W}_{*,d}(e_0,e_1)$, $\mathcal{W}_{s,d}(e_0,e_1)$ be the set of words over $\{e_0,e_1\}$, resp. words of depth d, of weight s, of weight s and depth d, for any $s,d \in \mathbb{N}$. We can view $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$ as the set $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{W}(e_0,e_1),\mathbb{Q}_p)$. Let Λ and D be formal variables.

Definition 3.6.
i) For $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$, let $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}(f) = \sum_{s,d\geq 0} \sup_{w\in\mathcal{W}_{s,d}(e_0,e_1)} |f[w]|_p \Lambda^s D^d \in \mathbb{R}_+[[\Lambda,D]]$.
ii) For $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle$ whose restriction to each $\mathcal{W}_{*,d}(e_0,e_1)$ is bounded, let $\mathcal{N}_D(f) = \sum_{d\geq 0} \sup_{w\in\mathcal{W}_{*,d}(e_0,e_1)} |f[w]|_p D^d \in \mathbb{R}_+[[D]]$.

One can associate with $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}$, resp. $\mathcal{N}_D$ the topology of simple convergence, resp. convergence which is uniform on each $\mathcal{W}_{*,d}(e_0,e_1)$, of $\mathrm{Map}(\mathcal{W}(e_0,e_1),\mathbb{Q}_p)$. This defines also topologies on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$. We will see that these definitions are compatible with most usual operations on $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, -\vec{1}_1, \vec{1}_0)(\mathbb{Q}_p)$.

4 (Part I) Explicit computation of the Frobenius [J1], [J2], [J3]

Let p be a prime number. In this part, we compute the Frobenius of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}((\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$ reviewed in §2.4. The three sections I-1, I-2, I-3 give three different computations, but the main results are in I-2 and I-3, and especially I-2 ; they use the results of I-1 as a technical lemma.

[23] Indeed, for any α, the iterated sum $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_d,\dots,s_1) = (p^\alpha)^{s_d+\dots+s_1} \sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d<p^\alpha} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\dots n_d^{s_d}}$ is the sum of the terms $(n_1,\dots,n_d) \in (p^{\alpha-1}\mathbb{N})^d$, whose contribution is equal to $\mathrm{har}_p(s_d,\dots,s_1) \in p^{s_d+\dots+s_1}\mathbb{Z}_p$, and the other terms, whose contribution is in $p^{s_d+\dots+s_1+1}\mathbb{Z}_p$.

4.1 (I-1) Direct solution to the equation of horizontality of Frobenius [J1]

This part is inspired from Ünver's work in depth one and two [U2], who has also generalized it to $\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,\mu_N,\infty\}$, $p\nmid N$ in [U3] [24] : we will solve the system of equations on $(\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha},\zeta_{p,\alpha})$ formed by (11) and (12), initially by induction on the weight (in fine by induction on the depth). By the new motivation coming from finite multiple zeta values (§1.4.2), we want moreover to express the result in terms of prime multiple harmonic sums.

4.1.1 A space of absolutely convergent infinite sums of har_{p^α}

The main result will be expressed in terms of the following object.

Definition 4.1. i) Let

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}=\mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}\Big\{\Big(\sum_{L\in\mathbb{N}}F_L\prod_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w_{L,\eta})\Big)_{(p,\alpha)}\mid(*)\Big\}\subset\prod_{(p,\alpha)\in\mathcal{P}\times\mathbb{N}^*}\mathbb{Q}_p \tag{13}$$

where $(*)$ means that $(w_{L,1})_{L\in\mathbb{N}},\dots,(w_{L,\eta_0})_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ ($\eta_0\in\mathbb{N}^*$) are sequences of words on e_Z satisfying $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0}\mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta})\to_{l\to\infty}\infty$ and $\limsup_{L\to\infty}\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0}\mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta})<\infty$, and $(F_L)_{L\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of rational numbers (independent of (p,α)), such that the series is absolutely convergent (see §3.2.3).
ii) For all $(s,d)\in(\mathbb{N}^*)^2$, let

$$\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{s,d}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}_N}\subset\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}_N}$$

be the subspace generated by the elements as above such that, for all $L\in\mathbb{N}$, $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0}\mathrm{weight}(w_{L,\eta})\geq s$ and $\sum_{\eta=1}^{\eta_0}\mathrm{depth}(w_{L,\eta})\leq d$. We have $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}=\cup_{(s,d)\in(\mathbb{N}^*)^2}\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{s,d}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$.

4.1.2 Main result

The main result of this part is the following :

Theorem 1. p-adic multiple zeta values at a word w of weight s and depth d, viewed as sequences $(\zeta_{p,\alpha}(w))_{(p,\alpha)\in\mathcal{P}\times\mathbb{N}^*}$, are elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}^{s-d,d}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$.

More precisely :

Appendix to Theorem 1. (see §6.2 of [J1] for a precise statement)
i) There is an explicit formula, inductive with respect to the depth, for $(\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha},\zeta_{p,\alpha})$ where the maps $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}(w)$ are viewed as the maps $n\in\mathbb{N}^*\mapsto\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]\in\mathbb{Q}_p$, [25] themselves viewed as elements of a specific subalgebra (defined in terms of multiple harmonic sums)

[24] with a difference of convention ; in those papers, the Frobenius is ϕ^{-1} whereas for us the Frobenius is ϕ^α (composed with $\tau(p^\alpha)$) for all $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^*$

[25] where $[z^n]$ means the coefficient of degree n in the power series expansion at 0

of the one of locally analytic functions $\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$.
ii) There are explicit lower bounds for the p-adic valuations of the numbers $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$, and of p-adic multiple zeta values $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(w)$, which depend only on (p,weight(w), depth(w)).

4.1.3 Strategy of proof and example of explicit formula

1) In order to solve the system of equations (11), (12), we must first express $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$ in terms of the coefficients $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[z^n]$. A way to do it is to remark that $U^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \{|z-1|_p < 1\}$ is the image by $z \mapsto \frac{z}{z-1}$ (the homography of $\mathbb{P}^1$ sending $(0,1,\infty)$ to $(0,\infty,1)$) of $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}} = \mathbb{P}^{1,\mathrm{an}} - \{z-\infty|_p < 1\}$; by definition, the algebra of rigid analytic functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}}$ is $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathrm{an}}) = \{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n \mid |a_n|_p \to_{n\to\infty} 0\}$. Then, a classical lemma of Mahler on interpolation of functions $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ [Mah] can be recasted as an explicit description of $A^{\mathrm{rig}}(\mathbb{P}^{1,an} -]1[)$, implying a continuous interpolation on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of the maps $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$, and the formula $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty) = -\lim_{|n|_p \to 0} \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty)[z^n]$ (see Proposition 2 of [U2] for another version of this result, proved differently.)

2) We must also check that equation (12) gives an expression of $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ and $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$ in terms of each other which is compatible with the depth filtration. This extends some results of [U2], §5 in low depth. We prove that we can express $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ in depth $\leq d$ and $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$ in depth $\leq d+1$ in terms of each other by polynomial expressions. These results do not alterate lower bounds of valuations since these polynomials have coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$.

3) Combining the two previous steps gives a solution to (11), (12) ; however, the recursive expression of $(\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}, \zeta_{p,\alpha})$ which is obtained is only in terms of certain limits of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear combinations of finite iterated sums, and this is not enough enlightening. To make any progress, we must understand in an explicit way why the maps $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$ are continuously interpolable on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. For w of depth 1 [26] and $w = e_1 e_0^{s-1} e_1$, this is easy, and it gives back the following essentially known result, [27]

Example 4.2. For all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have $\zeta_{p,\alpha}(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} \binom{-s}{l} B_l \ \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s+l-1)$

which also leads to a proof for other words w of depth 2, using a Taylor expansion of $n \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$ when $|n|_p \to 0$. In [U2], §5.15, it is explained that each integration operator $f \mapsto \int f\omega$, with $\omega \in \{\frac{p^\alpha dz}{z}, \frac{p^\alpha dz}{z-1}, \frac{d(z^{p^\alpha})}{z^{p^\alpha}-1}\}$, appearing in the resolution of (11) in low depth, can be replaced by a regularized variant ; i.e the linear combinations of iterated integrals of these forms can be replaced by the same linear combinations of regularized variants, in such a way that the evaluation at ∞ makes sense for each term of the linear combination. Then, in [U2], §5.16-§5.19, for certain w of depth 2 and 3 (which leads to computing certain $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$ in depth 2), it is proven that certain terms of the maps $n \in p\mathbb{N}^* \subset \mathbb{Z}_p \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$, are analytic [28].

[26] in depth one we have : $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[e_0^{s-1}e_1](z) = (p^\alpha)^s \sum_{\substack{0<n \\ p^\alpha \nmid n}} \frac{z^n}{n^s}$

[27] see [Co], equation (4), and [W]

[28] by an indirect method using an equation satisfied by the logarithm of the p-adic Gamma function ;

What we prove, by induction, is that the maps $n \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$ extend to locally analytic functions on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, with coefficients of a very specific type, made out of elements of $\widehat{\mathrm{Har}}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}$ and of multiple harmonic sums of upper bound $n \in \{1, \dots, p^\alpha - 1\}$, and having certain bounds of valuations of a specific type.

4) It remains to lift each step of the inductive process above to an explicit map : there are three of them (and a fourth one is the use of the formula for $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$ in terms of $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[z^n]$, but it adds no complexity) : these are the dualization (from an algebraic point of view) of equations (11) and (12), and the computation of regularized p-adic iterated integrals associated with a word in the differential forms $\frac{p^\alpha dz}{z}$, $\frac{p^\alpha dz}{z-1}$, and $\frac{d(z^{p^\alpha})}{z^{p^\alpha}-1}$. We can also improve the induction on the weight by replacing it by an induction on the depth.

4.2 (I-2) Indirect resolution of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius [J2]

We are going to see that there exists a way to compute the Frobenius which is entirely different from I-1. Let us try to follow the ideas of §3, and to find an application of the Principle 3.1 to the differential equation of Frobenius.

4.2.1 The Frobenius ϕ viewed as a map from multiple harmonic sums to multiple harmonic sums

It is convenient for what follows to view the Frobenius $z \mapsto z^{p^\alpha}$ to U^{an} and, especially, of the Frobenius ϕ of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(X_{\mathbb{Q}_p})$, both applied to $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$, as transformations of multiple harmonic sums.
The map $\mathcal{F} : z \mapsto z^{p^\alpha}$ induces the map of power series $\sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^n \mapsto \sum_{n\in\mathbb{N}} c_n z^{p^\alpha n}$, i.e. the map of sequences of coefficients $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (1_{p^\alpha\mathbb{N}} c_{\frac{n}{p^\alpha}})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let us consider its right inverse $(c_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \mapsto (c_{p^\alpha n})_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$; we will say that $\mathcal{F}$ "sends har_n to $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$".
The effect of ϕ on $\mathrm{Li}_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}$ is expressed by equation (10) ; let us take, in that equation, the coefficient of the series expansion at 0 of degree $p^\alpha n$, then, the coefficient a word $e_0^{l-1}e_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$; and, finally, let us apply $\tau(p^\alpha n)$. We get an equation of the form

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}(s_d, \dots, s_1) = \text{ a function indexed by } (l, s_d, \dots, s_1) \text{ applied to } (\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}, \zeta_{p,\alpha}, n, (\mathrm{har}_m)_{1\le m\le n}) \quad (14)$$

and we will say that ϕ "sends $(\mathrm{har}_m)_{1\le m\le n}$ to $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$".

4.2.2 Main result

Definition 4.3. We define a condition of bounds of valuations :
For any $S \subset \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$, let $S_\Sigma = \{f \in A \mid \text{for all } d \in \mathbb{N}^*,\ \sup_{w\in\mathcal{W}_{s,d}} |f[w]|_p \to_{s\to\infty} 0\}$.

the formulas of the type of Example 4.2, infinite sums of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums, do not appear in [U2], [U3]

Let also $\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma \subset \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma$ defined by the points f such that $f[e_0] = f[e_1] = 0$. We can show that $\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma$ it is a subgroup of $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ both for the usual multiplication and the Ihara product $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}$, and that it is closed for both topologies of §3.3. We equip it as well as $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$ with the $\mathcal{N}_D$-topology. The topological group $(\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma, \circ^{\mathrm{DR}})$ is isomorphic via the map $\mathrm{Ad}(e_1)$ [29] to the topological group $(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1), \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}})$ where $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is the "adjoint Ihara action", defined as $g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}} f = f(e_0, g)$.

Theorem 2
i) (DR - RT) There exists an explicit free continuous group action of $(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1), \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}})$, the "DR-RT harmonic Ihara action"

$$\circ^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} : \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1) \times \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}) \to \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}})$$

such that we have

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha \mathbb{N}} = \Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha} \circ^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} \mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}} \tag{15}$$

ii) (RT) There exists an explicit continuous map, the "RT harmonic Ihara action"

$$\circ^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} : (\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}})_\Sigma \times \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}) \to \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}})$$

such that we have

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha \mathbb{N}} = \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} \circ^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} \mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}} \tag{16}$$

iii) (comparison) By relating to each other the two formulas above, we get the definition of an explicit map Σ^{RT} satisfying

$$\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha} = \Sigma^{\mathrm{RT}} \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} \tag{17}$$

We note that, by contrast with the formulas of I-1 which involves the five objects $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$, $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}$, $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$, $\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}(\infty)^{-1}(e_0 + e_1)\,\mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}(\infty)$, $n \mapsto \mathrm{Li}^\dagger_{p,\alpha}[z^n]$, and relations between them, the formula (15) above involves only $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}$, and similarly, the formula (16) above involves only har_{p^α}. Moreover, by contrast with the formulas of I-1 which are recursive, the three formulas (15), (16), (17) can be written without recursion and in a few lines (see [J2]). Instead of solving a system of equations, as we did in I-1, here, we only write two different incarnations of the Frobenius and compare them to each other. The proof will however rely on I-1.

4.2.3 The $n = 1$ case of the main result

By specializing (15) to $n = 1$, using that $\mathrm{har}_1(w) = 0$ for all non-empty words w, the explicit formula for $\circ^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}$ gives :

[29] since we read the groupoid multiplication of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$ from the right to the left, we take the convention that $\mathrm{Ad}_g(h) = g^{-1}hg$

Corollary 2
For all $(s_d, \dots, s_1)$, we have :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_d, \dots, s_1) &= (\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha})\Big[\frac{1}{1-e_0} e_1 e_0^{s_d-1} e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1} e_1\Big] \\ &= \sum_{d'=0}^{d} \sum_{l_{d'+1},\dots,l_d \in \mathbb{N}} \prod_{i=d'}^{d} \binom{-s_i}{l_i} \zeta_{p,\alpha}(s_{d'+1} + l_{d'+1}, \dots, s_d + l_d) \zeta_{p,\alpha}(s_{d'}, \dots, s_1) \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

This is an inversion of the series expansion of p-adic multiple zeta values. The $\alpha = 1$ case of (18) solves a conjecture of Akagi-Hirose-Yasuda [30]. One reads in (18) the definition of a map $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$, which reformulates this equation as :

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} = \Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}) \quad (19)$$

This map satisfies the following property, which re-implies equation (18) through equation (17) :

$$\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}} \circ \Sigma^{\mathrm{RT}} = \mathrm{id} \quad (20)$$

This last statement (20) is used in the proof of iii) of the main theorem, and is obtained as a "lift" of the proof of (16) restricted to $n = 1$.

4.2.4 Applications to finite multiple zeta values

We now review, following [AkHYas], some consequences on finite multiple zeta values of the $n = 1$ case of our main theorem and other results brought together, which answer the question of §1.4.3. The following integrality property of Furusho's version of p-adic multiple zeta values holds : for all words w, we have $\zeta_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}(w) \in \sum_{s \geq \mathrm{weight}(w)} \frac{p^s}{s!}\mathbb{Z}_p$; this is proved in [AkHYas] by the logarithmic generalization [O2] of Mazur's theorem on crystalline cohomology [Maz], and by Chatzitamatiou [Cha]. It implies easily the same result for $\zeta_p = \zeta_{p,1}$. [31] The integrality of ζ_p and our equation (18) brought together imply, for all $p > s_d + \dots + s_1$, the right-hand side above is in $p^{s_d+\dots+s_1}\mathbb{Z}_p$ and :

$$\mathrm{har}_p(s_d, \dots, s_1) \equiv \sum_{d'=0}^{d} (-1)^{s_{d'+1}+\dots+s_d} \zeta_p(s_{d'+1}, \dots, s_d) \zeta_p(s_{d'}, \dots, s_1) \mod p^{s_d+\dots+s_1+1}\mathbb{Z}_p \quad (21)$$

[30] In depth one and $\alpha = 1$, equation (18) amounts a result on Washington on the values of the Kubota-Leopoldt zeta function [W]. In depth two and $\alpha = 1$, equation (18) had been proved by M. Hirose, a priori by a different method.

[31] and more generally for all $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$; there is a difference of convention : in [AkHYas] the authors do not consider $\zeta_{p,1}(w)$ but $\zeta_p^{\mathrm{De}}(w) = p^{-\mathrm{weight}(w)}\zeta_{p,1}(w)$, in accordance with the notations of [Fu2], and they do not consider $\mathrm{har}_p(w)$ but $p^{-\mathrm{weight}(w)}\mathrm{har}_p(w)$.

whence a formula for $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(s_d,\dots,s_1)$ in terms of p-adic multiple zeta values ; it coincides with the formula in Kaneko-Zagier's Conjecture 1.15, and thus explain this formula.
This enables to defines a map of "reduction modulo all large primes" of p-adic multiple zeta values, and Kaneko-Zagier's conjecture is recasted as the injectivity of this map. Through Yamashita's work and the upper bound on dimensions on the spaces of p-adic multiple zeta values (§1.4.1), the same bounds of dimensions for finite multiple zeta values are deduced : $\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\dim_s(\mathcal{Z}_s^{\mathcal{A}})\Lambda^s \leq \frac{1-\Lambda^2}{1-\Lambda^2-\Lambda^3}$ where $\mathcal{Z}_s^{\mathcal{A}}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-vector spaces generated by finite multiple zeta values of weight s. These results show that the framework of periods has truly been applied to $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}$.

4.2.5 Strategy of proof of i) and associated explicit formulas

1) Let us go back to equation (14). It looks complicated, but we note that the left-hand side does not depend on l whereas the right-hand side does. We prove [32]

Key Lemma 4.4. ([J2], §3) The terms of equation (14) containing a factor $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$ tend to zero when $l\to\infty$.

This is a consequence of the bounds of valuations of $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w]$ in Appendix to Theorem I-1. By this lemma, taking the limit $l\to\infty$ of (14) is a big simplification of (14). By expressing the limit of the other terms, we have a formula for $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$ in terms of har_n (instead of $(\mathrm{har}_m)_{1\leq m\leq n}$) and certain power series of n whose coefficients are made out of $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$ (instead of $(\zeta_{p,\alpha},\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha})$) : this is a first version of equation (15) :

Example 4.5. In depth one, equation (15) is for all $s\in\mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}(s)=\mathrm{har}_n(s)+\sum_{b\in\mathbb{N}} n^{b+s}(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^b e_1 e_0^{s-1}e_1] \tag{22}$$

In depth two, equation (15) is for all $s_1,s_2\in\mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}(s_2,s_1)=\mathrm{har}_n(s_2,s_1)&+\sum_{b\in\mathbb{N}} n^{b+s_2+s_1}(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^l e_1 e_0^{s_2-1}e_1e_0^{s_1-1}e_1]\\&+\sum_{r_2=0}^{s_2-1}\mathrm{har}_n(s_2-r_2)n^{r_2+s_1}(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^{r_2} e_1 e_0^{s_1-1}e_1]\\&+\sum_{r_1=0}^{s_1-1}\mathrm{har}_n(s_1-r_1)\sum_{b\in\mathbb{N}} n^{b+s_2+r_1}(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^b e_1 e_0^{s_2-1}e_1e_0^{r_1}]\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We also observe that specializing this equation to $n=1$ gives equation (18).

2) We will use the formula for the Ihara action given in Theorem 2.10. Let $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle^{\lim}=\{f\in\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle \mid \text{for all words } w,\ (f[e_0^l w])_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \text{ has a limit when } l\to\infty\}$. We have a

[32] a motivation for the next lemma is that the numbers $n^{\mathrm{weight}(w)}\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}[w][z^n]$ should be smaller p-adically than the numbers $\mathrm{har}_n(w)$ because of the respective convergence properties of $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{KZ}}_p$ and $\mathrm{Li}^{\dagger}_{p,\alpha}$.

map $\lim : \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\lim} \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$ defined by, for all words w, $(\lim f)[w] = \lim_{l\to\infty} f[e_0^l w]$. We define $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR,RT}}$ by the equation

$$g \circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}} (n \mapsto h_n) = \Big(n \mapsto \lim\Big(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tau(n)(g)}(e_1) \circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}} h_n\Big)\Big)$$

We prove that it is well-defined, that it is a group action, that it is continuous, and that it is free. Finally, equation (18) lifts to the definition of a map $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$.

4.2.6 Strategy of proof of ii) and iii) and associated explicit formulas

1) We consider a multiple harmonic sum $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$, and we partitionate the set its indices $\{0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d < p^\alpha n\}$ into the 2^d subsets defined respectively by d conditions of the type $p^\alpha | n_i$ or $p^\alpha \nmid n_i$, where i runs through $\{1, \ldots, d\}$. For each of these subsets, we write the Euclidean division of n_i by p^α : $n_i = p^\alpha u_i$ or $n_i = p^\alpha u_i + r_i$ with $r_i \in \{1, \ldots, p^\alpha - 1\}$; in this second case, we write $n_i^{-s_i} = r_i^{-s_i}(1 + \frac{p^\alpha u_i}{r_i})^{s_i} = \sum_{l_i \geq 0} \binom{-s_i}{l_i} (\frac{p^\alpha u_i}{r_i})^{l_i}$.
We then sum over the values of $r_i \in \{1, \ldots, p^\alpha - 1\}$ and u_i. The sums over r_i give directly prime weighted multiple harmonic sums har_{p^α}. The sums over u_i are of the type $\sum_{0 \leq u_{i_1} \leq \ldots \leq u_{i_r} \leq n-1} u_{i_1}^{\pm l_{i_1}} \ldots u_{i_r}^{\pm l_{i_r}}$, $l_{i_j} \in \mathbb{N}$. The subsums involving consecutive sequences of positive powers $+l_{i_j}$ can be expressed as polynomials of their upper and lower bounds, and $\sum_{0 \leq u_{i_1} \leq \ldots \leq u_{i_r} \leq n-1} \frac{1}{u_{i_1}^{\pm s_{i_1}} \ldots u_{i_r}^{\pm s_{i_r}}}$ is a linear combination of weighted multiple harmonic sums har_n over the ring $\mathbb{Q}[n]$ of polynomial functions on n. After inverting an absolutely convergent double sum, we obtain an expression of $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$ of the type of equation (16) :

Example 4.6. In depth one and two [33], equation (16) is, respectively :

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}(s) = \mathrm{har}_n(s) + \sum_{b \geq 1} n^{b+s} \sum_{l \geq b-1} \binom{-s}{l} \mathcal{B}_b^l \, \mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s + l) \tag{24}$$

[33] With $\mathcal{B}_b^{l_r,\ldots,l_1}$, defined by for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\sum_{0 \leq n_1 < \ldots < n_r < n} n_1^l = \sum_{b=1}^{l_1+\ldots+l_r+1} \mathcal{B}_b^{l_r,\ldots,l_1} n^b$ ($r \geq 1$, $l_1, \ldots, l_r, b \geq 0$ and $1 \leq b \leq l_1 + \ldots + l_r + r$) ; example : $\mathcal{B}_b^l = \frac{1}{l+1}\binom{l+1}{b} B_{b+1-l}$ where B denotes Bernoulli numbers.
The generalization $\mathcal{B}_b^{\pm l_r,\ldots,\pm l_1}$ is defined similarly as certain coefficients arising from the process of elimination of positive powers above

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}(s_2,s_1)=\mathrm{har}_n(s_2,s_1)+$$
$$\sum_{t\geq 1} n^{s_2+s_1+t}\sum_{l\geq t-1}\left[\binom{-s_1}{l+s_2}\mathcal{B}_t^{l+s_2,-s_2}-\binom{-s_2}{l+s_1}\mathcal{B}_t^{l+s_1,-s_1}\right]\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_1+s_2+t)+\sum_{t\geq 1} n^{s_1+s_2+t}\Bigg[$$
$$\sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2\geq 0\\ l_1+l_2\geq t-2}}\mathcal{B}_t^{l_2,l_1}\prod_{i=1}^{2}\binom{-s_i}{l_i}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_i+l_i)+\sum_{\substack{l_1,l_2\geq 0\\ l_1+l_2\geq t-1}}\mathcal{B}_t^{l_1+l_2}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{2}\binom{-s_i}{l_i}\right)\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_2+l_2,s_1+l_1)\Bigg]$$
$$-n^{s_2+s_1}\left[\sum_{l_1\geq s_2-1}\mathcal{B}_{s_2}^{l_1}\binom{-s_1}{l_1}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_1+l_1)-\sum_{l_2\geq s_1-1}\mathcal{B}_{s_1}^{l_2}\binom{-s_2}{l_2}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_2+l_2)\right]+$$
$$\sum_{\substack{1\leq t<s_2\\ l\geq t-1}} n^{s_1+t}\,\mathrm{har}_n(s_2-t)\mathcal{B}_t^{l}\binom{-s_1}{l}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_1+l)-\sum_{\substack{1\leq t<s_1\\ l'\geq t-1}} n^{s_2+t}\,\mathrm{har}_n(s_1-t)\mathcal{B}_t^{l'}\binom{-s_2}{l'}\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s_2+l') \tag{25}$$

2) Let $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0^{\pm 1},e_1\rangle\rangle$ be the set of maps $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle e_0^{\pm 1},e_1\rangle\to\mathbb{Q}_p$ where $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle e_0^{\pm 1},e_1\rangle$ is the localization of the non-commutative ring $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle e_0,e_1\rangle$ equipped with the concatenation product at the multiplicative part generated by e_0. We define through it a variant $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0^{\pm 1},e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$ in the way of §3.2.1 which contains, for each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the generating sequence of multiple harmonic sums $\mathrm{har}_n(\pm s_d,\dots,\pm s_1)$ in the sense above.
The first step of 1), of writing a p-adic expansion of $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$ and summing over r_i's and u_i's, lifts in a natural way into a map which we call "localized RT harmonic Ihara action"

$$(\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT},\mathrm{RT}})_{\mathrm{loc}}:(\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}})_\Sigma\times\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}})\to\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0^{\pm},e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}})$$

The second step of 1), of expressing the maps $n\mapsto\sum_{0\leq u_{i_1}\leq\dots\leq u_{i_r}\leq n-1}u_{i_1}^{\pm l_{i_1}}\dots u_{i_r}^{\pm l_{i_r}}$ as $\mathbb{Q}[n]$-linear combinations of $n\mapsto\mathrm{har}_n$ lifts to a map of "elimination of positive powers" (or, in terms of e_0, elimination of negative powers) :

$$\mathrm{elim}:\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0^{\pm},e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}})\to\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N},\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0,e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}})$$

Then we define $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ as $\mathrm{elim}\circ(\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT},\mathrm{RT}})_{\mathrm{loc}}$. See [J2], §4, §5 for the explicit formulas.
3) We define the map Σ^{RT} as follows : $\Sigma^{\mathrm{RT}}[e_0^be_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1]$ is the coefficient of $n^{b+s_d+\dots+s_1}\,\mathrm{har}_n(\emptyset)$ in equation (16).
A first observation is that we have $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}\circ\Sigma^{\mathrm{RT}}=\mathrm{id}$; this follows from that, by specializing the equation of elimination of positive powers to $n=1$, we get relations between the coefficients $\mathcal{B}$ from the fact that $\mathrm{har}_{n=1}(w)=0$ for all non-empty words w ; this is hidden behind the fact that specializing equation (16) to $n=1$ yields only the tautological equality $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}=\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}$.
A second observation is that, under certain conditions on g,h we have $g\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}h=\Sigma^{\mathrm{RT}}g\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}h$: this follows essentially from that $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$ and $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ are built out of similar processes of taking subwords and quotient words.

4.3 (I-3) The number of iterations of the Frobenius viewed as a variable [J3]

In I-1 and I-2, the number $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$ of iterations of Frobenius was fixed. We now study, on the contrary, the Frobenius as a function of α viewed as a p-adic variable. We are going to consider, more precisely, instead of ϕ iterated α times, ϕ^{α_0} iterated $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}$ times, with $(\alpha_0, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ such that $\alpha_0 | \alpha$.
Note that, for prime multiple harmonic sums har_{p^α}, the variable p^α has two roles : it both reflects the number of iterations of the Frobenius and is the upper bound of the underlying iterated sum. This increases the interest of introducing α_0 above, and relates the problem above to the one of studying multiple harmonic sums $\mathrm{har}_n(w)$ as functions of n viewed as a p-adic integer [34].

4.3.1 The Frobenius as a contraction mapping

We are going to use again, but this time in a more important way, the topological framework of §3.3. One can prove that $\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}$, and thus $\mathcal{N}_D$ as well, is sub-multiplicative with respect to the Ihara product $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}$: for all $f, g \in \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}(g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}} f) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}(g) \times \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}(f) \tag{26}$$

This inequation, together with the completeness of $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ authorizes the following definition : below, the exponent $-1_{\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}}$ means "inverse for the Ihara product" :

Definition 4.7. Let $\kappa \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ with $|\kappa|_p < 1$. A map $\psi : \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p) \to \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ is said to be a κ-contraction if, for all $f, f' \in \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, we have :

$$\mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}\Big(\psi(f')^{-1_{\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}}} \circ^{\mathrm{DR}} \psi(f)\Big)(\Lambda, D) \leq \mathcal{N}_{\Lambda,D}\Big(f'^{-1_{\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}}} \circ^{\mathrm{DR}} f\Big)(\kappa\Lambda, D) \tag{27}$$

Indeed, by (26) and the completeness of $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, the contractions in this sense satisfy the usual properties of contractions of complete metric spaces regarding fixed points : they have a unique fixed point and any sequence $(\phi^a(f))_{a \in \mathbb{N}^*}$, for $f \in \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ tends to the fixed point when $a \to \infty$.
One can see that, for all $(\lambda, g) \in \mathbb{G}_m(\mathbb{Q}_p) \times \Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, if $|\lambda|_p < 1$, the map $f \mapsto g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}} \tau(\lambda)(f)$ is a contraction in this sense [35]. This implies, by the formula for the Frobenius of $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (Proposition 2.19) that the inverse of the Frobenius iterated α_0 times, i.e. $\phi^{-\alpha_0}$, is a contraction in this sense with $\lambda = p^{\alpha_0}$ [36]. This was hidden behind the existence and uniqueness of a Frobenius-invariant path in $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ subjacent to Definition 2.20 (see the proof of Proposition 3.1 in [Fu2] for an implicit evocation of this fact).

[34] and this will also have an application in part V-1 of this theory, see [J11]
[35] and the inequality (27) is more precisely an equality with $\kappa = \lambda$
[36] $\phi^{-\alpha_0}$ is of the form above with $g = \Phi_{p,\alpha_0}^{-1_{\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}}}$ and $\lambda = p^{\alpha_0}$.

4.3.2 Main result

Let Λ, $\mathbf{a}$ be two formal variables. We will use the notations defined in §4.2.2 ; moreover, for $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\mathrm{pr}_s : \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$ is the map of "projection onto the terms of weight s" (i.e. we have, for all f, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$, $\tau(\lambda)(f) = \sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \mathrm{pr}_s(f)\lambda^s$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$). The parts o) and i) below rely on Corollary 2.

Theorem 3
Let $(\alpha_0, \alpha) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^2$ such that $\alpha_0|\alpha$.
o) (DR) There exists a continuous free group action of $(\mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1), \circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}})$, the "DR harmonic Ihara action"

$$\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}} : \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1) \times \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$$

which extends to a map $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_\Sigma \times \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ which we will denote in the same way, such that we have the analytic expansion (equality of functions of $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$) :

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^{\mathbb{N}}} = \sum_{s\geq 0} \Big(\mathrm{pr}_{s+1} \Big(((\Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1} e_1 \Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1_{\circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}}}} \Big) \circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}} \Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}((\Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1} e_1 \Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}) \Big)(p^{\mathbb{N}})^s \tag{28}$$

i) (DR) There exists a map $\widetilde{\mathrm{el}}_{\mathrm{har,DR}}^{\mathbf{a},\Lambda} : \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1) \to \mathbb{Q}_p[[\Lambda^{\mathbf{a}}]][\mathbf{a}](\Lambda)\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ such that, the map $\mathrm{el}_{\mathrm{har,DR}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, p^{\alpha_0}} : \tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ defined as the composition of $\widetilde{\mathrm{el}}_{\mathrm{har,DR}}^{\mathbf{a},\Lambda}$ by the reduction modulo $(\mathbf{a} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, \Lambda - p^{\alpha_0})$ satisfies, at words w such that $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0} > \mathrm{depth}(w)$:

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} = \mathrm{el}_{\mathrm{har,DR}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, p^{\alpha_0}}(\Phi_{p,\alpha_0}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha_0}) \tag{29}$$

ii) (RT) There exists a map $\widetilde{\mathrm{el}}_{\mathrm{har,RT}}^{\mathbf{a},\Lambda} : (\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}})_\Sigma \to \mathbb{Q}_p[[\Lambda^{\mathbf{a}}]][\mathbf{a}](\Lambda)\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ such that, the map $\mathrm{el}_{\mathrm{har,RT}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, p^{\alpha_0}} : (\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}})_\Sigma \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ defined as the composition of $\widetilde{\mathrm{el}}_{\mathrm{har,RT}}^{\mathbf{a},\Lambda}$ by the reduction modulo $(\mathbf{a} - \frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, \Lambda - p^{\alpha_0})$, satisfies,

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha} = \mathrm{el}_{\mathrm{har,RT}}^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}, p^{\alpha_0}}(\mathrm{har}_{p^{\alpha_0}}) \tag{30}$$

iii) (comparison) Let us fix α_0, and view (28), (29), (30) as three expansions of a function $\mathbb{N}^* \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$ of $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}$, in the ring $\mathbb{Q}_p[[(p^{\alpha_0})^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}}]][\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_0}]\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}$. Then, the coefficients of these expansions are identical.

Thus, we have a natural way to compute indirectly the Frobenius-invariant path Φ_p^{KZ}.
We note that it is easy to check that we have an isomorphism of topological spaces with continuous group action between $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}})$ equipped with $n \mapsto (\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}) \circ (\tau(n) \times \mathrm{id})$ and $\mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}})$ equipped with $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}$.

4.3.3 Strategy of proof and examples of explicit formulas

o) The formula for the Frobenius on $\Pi_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ (Proposition 2.19) combined to the definition of Φ_p^{KZ} as Frobenius-invariant yields a formula expressing $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ in terms of Φ_p^{KZ} (see also [Fu2], Theorem 2.8). We apply to this formula, first, $\mathrm{Ad}(e_1)$, which fits into a commutative diagram involving $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}$ and $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}}$, and then $\Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}}$, which is possible because $\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma$ is closed and preserved by all natural operations, and we apply Corollary 2 (equation 18). We get the result, where we view $\Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}}$ has a surjective map onto $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}}$, where $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}}$ is extended as a map $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \times \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$ by the same formula with the one of §4.2.2 and where $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}}$ is defined by

$$g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}} (\Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}} h) = \Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}} (g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}} h)$$

We check that $\circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}}$ is well-defined, and that is a continuous free group action.

Example 4.8. In depth one, this gives : for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s) = ((\Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1} e_1 \Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}}) \Big[\frac{1}{1-e_0} e_1 e_0^{s-1} e_1 \Big] + \sum_{b \geq 0} (p^\alpha)^{b+s} ((\Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1} e_1 \Phi_p^{\mathrm{KZ}})^{-1 \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}}} \Big[e_0^l e_1 e_0^{s-1} e_1 \Big]$$

i) For $a \in \mathbb{N}^*$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Q}_p^*$ which is not a root of unity, let us call "adjoint elevation to the a-th Ihara power weighted by λ" the map $g \in \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1) \mapsto g \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}} \tau(\lambda)(g) \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}} \ldots \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{Ad}} \tau(\lambda^{a-1})(g)$. It can be written in terms of the standard multiplication of $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$, which enables to write its dual. Then, we can analyze how it depends on a, provided we restrict to a greater than the depth of the word under consideration. The map of the statement is then obtained by applying $\Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}}$ and Corollary 2 to the result.

ii) We introduce in $(p^\alpha)^{s_d+\ldots+s_1} \sum_{0<n_1<\ldots<n_d<p^\alpha} \frac{1}{n_1^{s_1}\ldots n_d^{s_d}}$, the following additional parameters : we write each n_i as $p^{\alpha_0 v_i}(p^{\alpha_0} u_i + r_i)$, where $v_i, u_i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $r_i \in \{1, \ldots, p^{\alpha_0} - 1\}$. Then, we write $\frac{1}{n_i^{s_i}} = \frac{1}{p^{\alpha_0 v_i s_i}} \sum_{l_i \geq 0} \binom{s_i}{-l_i} \frac{(p^{\alpha_0} u_i)^{l_i}}{r_i^{s_i+l_i}}$. We first have to translate the condition $0 < n_1 < \ldots < n_d < p^\alpha$ in terms of the new parameters, u_i, v_i, r_i. Summing over u_i and r_i has some similarities with a step of the proof of Theorem 2 in 4.2.6; summing over v_i is different since they appear as exponents. The result is obtained after having summed over all additional parameters.

Example 4.9. In depth one, this gives : for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(s) = \sum_{b \geq 1} \frac{p^{\alpha(s+b)} - 1}{p^{\alpha_0(s+b)} - 1} \sum_{L \geq -1} \mathcal{B}_b^{L+b} \, \mathrm{har}_{p^{\alpha_0}}(s+b+L)$$

iii) the uniqueness of the expansion follows from a standard argument.

5 (Part II) Explicit algebraic relations of p-adic multiple zeta values [J4], [J5], [J6]

In this part, we want to understand explicitly the algebraic relations of p-adic multiple zeta values, using the explicit computations of part I. The name "harmonic Ihara action" that we gave to the objects arising in I-2 and I-3 suggests that their nature is close to the one of the Ihara action, thus they should play a key role in this question. We will also apply again the principles of §3.
We keep in mind that the formulas exchanging $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$ and har_{p^α} involve weight-adically and p-adically convergent infinite summations ; the group $\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma$ is defined by bounds of valuations ; thus our framework is not strictly speaking algebraic, although it comes from an algebraic framework.

5.1 (II-1) Standard algebraic relations of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums and adjoint multiple zeta values [J4]

This is a preliminary step. By the formulas (17) and (19), by Remark 3.5 and by the nature of finite multiple zeta values (§1.4.3, §4.2.4), we are led to make sequences of numbers $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)$ as a notion of their own, certain variants of multiple zeta values. This should not only explain better the properties of finite multiple zeta values, but also be a necessary step to understand certain aspects of the explicit study of algebraic relations of p-adic multiple zeta values. [37]

5.1.1 Sequences of har_{p^α} which we will view as periods

We are led to define not one but several distinct notions of "sequences of $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)$" ; the notations are the one of §3.2.2 :

Convention 5.1. For any word $w = (s_d, \dots, s_1)$:
i) for each $p \in \mathcal{P}$, we will view $\mathrm{har}_{p^{\mathbb{N}^*}}(w)$ as a substitute to p-adic multiple zeta values (by I-2 and I-3).
ii) for each $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we will view $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha}(w)$ as a canonical lift of finite multiple zeta values (see 3.2.3)
iii) we will say that $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}(w)$ is the "product" of the two previous objects.

The object of i) is p-adic, the one of ii) adelic, and the one of iii) maybe "p-adic $\times$ adelic" ; the last one will provide the natural way to state some algebraic properties in a unified way.

[37] In [Ro], Rosen has considered the sequences $(\mathrm{har}_p(w))_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$, and made them into a notion in the ring $\varprojlim(\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})/(\oplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) = (\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p)/\overline{\oplus_{p\in\mathcal{P}}\mathbb{Z}_p}$, where the bar refers to the adherence with respect to the uniform topology on $\prod_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ relative to the p-adic topologies.
The proofs in [Ro] do not use $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, 1, \infty\})$ (they are in what we called the RT setting in §3.1.3). We prove in [J4] that the "asymptotic reflexion theorem" and the "asymptotic duality theorem" of [Ro] are equivalent certain particular cases of our main theorems of [J4], which concern the standard families of algebraic relations : double shuffle relations, associator relations and Kashiwara-Vergne relations.

5.1.2 Objects of study in the DR framework

The distinction between the computations of DR, DR - RT and RT type will appear in this part. In the DR framework, we will view $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)$ through equation (18). The standard algebraic relations of (p-adic) multiple zeta values are homogeneous for the weight, and it is conjectured that it is true for all algebraic relations (Conjecture 1.11, Conjecture 1.12). This suggests to separate the terms of different weights in equation (18), which leads to :

Definition 5.2. For each $(p,\alpha)\in\mathcal{P}\times\mathbb{N}^*$, and for each $(s_d,\dots,s_1)$ and $b\in\mathbb{N}$,
i) let De Rham prime weighted multiple harmonic sums be the formal power series

$$\mathrm{har}^{\Lambda}_{p^\alpha}\Big(s_d,\dots,s_1\Big)=(-1)^d(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})\Big[\frac{\Lambda^{s_d+\dots+s_1}}{1-\Lambda e_0}e_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1\Big]\in\mathbb{Q}_p[[\Lambda]]$$

ii) let adjoint p-adic multiple zeta values be the numbers

$$\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}_{p,\alpha}\Big(b;s_d,\dots,s_1\Big)=(-1)^d({\Phi_{p,\alpha}}^{-1}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})\Big[e_0^be_1e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1\Big]\in\mathbb{Q}_p$$

We also define similarly the complex and motivic analogues of these notions and denote them by ζ^{Ad} and $\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}_{\mathrm{mot}}$, where ζ_{mot} refers to Goncharov's motivic multiple zeta values [**?**]. The numbers appearing in Kaneko-Zagier's conjecture are $\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}(0;s_d,\dots,s_1)$; let us denote them $\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}(s_d,\dots,s_1)$. The formula

$$\mathrm{har}^{\Lambda}_{p^\alpha}(s_d,\dots,s_1)\equiv\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}(s_d,\dots,s_1)\mod\Lambda^{s_d+\dots+s_1+1}\tag{31}$$

is implicitly involved in §4.2.4 and is an analogue of the one of Remark 3.5.

5.1.3 From pro-affine schemes to affine formal schemes over $\mathbb{Q}[[\Lambda]]$

Whereas the algebraic relations of periods considered earlier are polynomial and homogeneous for the weight, their variants for sequences of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums and their analogues, which we are going to obtain, involve in general numbers $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}(w)$ where weights of the words w have several different values, and actually infinitely many different values (they will be infinite sums of algebraic relations between p-adic multiple zeta values).
A family of such "completed algebraic relations" defines an affine formal scheme over $\mathbb{Q}[[\Lambda]]$ equipped with the Λ-adic topology, more precisely, a sequence $(T_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$, such that, for all $s\in\mathbb{N}$, T_s is an affine scheme over $\mathbb{Q}[[\Lambda]]/(\Lambda^s)$ satisfying $T_s\equiv T_{s+1}\mod\Lambda^s$. There is a functor sending pro-affine schemes over $\mathbb{Q}$ such as the ones of this text to formal schemes over $\mathbb{Q}[[\Lambda]]$, defined by multiplying by Λ^s each equation of weight s. We will view the pro-affine schemes over $\mathbb{Q}$ subjacent to $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un}}(\mathbb{P}^1-\{0,1,\infty\})$, as well as Racinet's scheme DMR_0, and the morphisms between them, via their images by this functor.

5.1.4 Main result

A result of this part is a description of a variant of the double shuffle relations for prime weighted multiple harmonic sums and adjoint multiple zeta values. We denote by $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{Li}} = \mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}}$ the pro-affine scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$ of solutions to the double shuffle equations satisfied by multiple polylogarithms, or equivalently by the functions $\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}$.

Theorem 4
i) (DR) There exists an explicit pro-affine scheme $\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}$, which is a group scheme for $\circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$, such that the map $\mathrm{Ad}(e_1)$ defines a morphism of group schemes $(\mathrm{DMR}_0, \circ^{\mathrm{DR}}) \to (\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}, \circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}})$.
There exists an explicit affine $\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$-formal scheme $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$, which is stable by the action of $(\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}, \circ_{\mathrm{Ad}}^{\mathrm{DR}})$ by the harmonic Ihara action $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$, and such that $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ defines a morphism of $\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$-formal schemes $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}} : \mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}} \to \mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$.
ii) (DR-RT and RT) There exists an explicit affine $\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$-formal scheme $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$, and a $\mathbb{Z}[[\Lambda]]$-formal scheme S (which encodes a basic property of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums) such that the map of "restriction to degrees n in equal to a power of a prime number" defines a morphism : $(\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{Li}} = \mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}}) \cap S \to \mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$.
iii) (comparison) We have $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR}} = \mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$.

By i), $\mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi_{p,\alpha}}(e_1)$ which is the generating series of adjoint multiple zeta values (§5.1.2) is a point of $\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}$ and $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}*}}^{\Lambda}$ of (§5.1.2) is a point of $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$; by ii), $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ is a point of $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$. We note that in this case, the RT and DR - RT results are not different from each other, whereas comparing the DR and DR - RT results requires a proof.
We can also define a variant $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}^{\dagger}}$ of $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}$ which is adapted to the numbers $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha}^{\dagger p,\alpha}(w) = (p^\alpha)^{\mathrm{weight}(w)}\,\mathrm{Li}_{p,\alpha}^{\dagger}[w][z^{p^\alpha}]$, which are equal to the remainders in the series (18).

Corollary 4
The pro-affine schemes $\mathrm{DMR}_{\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ and $\mathrm{DMR}_{\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$ defined by the lowest weight term of the equations of $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ and $\mathrm{DMR}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}^{\mathrm{DR\,-\,RT}}$ respectively are equal and admit as points the generating sequences of the numbers "$\zeta^{\mathrm{Ad}}(s_d, \ldots, s_1) \mod \zeta(2)$", their p-adic and motivic analogues (§5.1.2), and of finite multiple zeta values.

This follows from Remark 3.5, equation (31) and equation (21).

5.1.5 Strategy of proof and explicit formulas

Let $\mathrm{shft}_*(e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1) = \frac{e_0^{s_d-1}}{(1+e_0)^{s_d}}e_1\ldots\frac{e_0^{s_1-1}}{(1+e_0)^{s_1}}e_1$ and $S_Y(e_0^{s_d-1}e_1\ldots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1) = (-1)^{s_d+\ldots+s_1}e_0^{s_1-1}e_1\ldots e_0^{s_d-1}e_1$ for any word.

i) The quasi-shuffle part of the result is a purely technical checking of a commutation between the quasi-shuffle product $*$ and $\Sigma_{\mathrm{inv}}^{\mathrm{DR}}$ resp. $\mathrm{Ad}(e_1)$. The shuffle part of the result

requires to guess the equation what we must prove : what we find is a formula involving $\mathrm{har}^{\Lambda}_{p^\alpha}$ equivalent to saying that $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ satisfies the shuffle equation modulo products : for all words w, w', $(\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha})[w \text{ ш } w'] = 0$. [38] $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}$ is defined by the quasi-shuffle equation (for all words w, w', $h(w)h(w') = h(w * w')$) and for all words w, w' and $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$h\Big((e_0^{s-1}e_1w) \text{ ш } w'\Big) = h\Big(w \text{ ш } (-1)^s \,\mathrm{shft}_*(e_0^{s-1}e_1w')\Big) \tag{32}$$

The formula comparing the two regularizations (integral and series) of $\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ [Ra] and the vanishing of the coefficients $\Phi_{p,\alpha}[e_0^{2s-1}e_1]$ imply that the two regularizations of $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ are equal to each other. One can read the equations of $\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}$ through the ones of $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}$ and the definition of $\mathrm{har}^{\Lambda}_{p^\alpha}$.
The compatibilities with the Ihara action and its variants is obtained by showing that all the maps and equations necessary for Racinet's proof in [Ra] can be recasted as lines of commutative diagrams involving the maps $\mathrm{Ad}(e_1)$ and $\Sigma^{\mathrm{DR}}_{\mathrm{inv}}$.
ii) is proved by the usual strategy DR-RT setting (explained in §3) applied to the case of the double shuffle relations of multiple polylogarithms, combined to the fact that, for $n \in \{1, \ldots, p^\alpha\}$, we have $v_p(n) < v_p(p^\alpha)$, thus $v_p(\frac{p^\alpha}{n}) > 0$, thus, for all $s \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(1 - \frac{p^\alpha}{n})^{-s} = \sum_{l\in\mathbb{N}} \binom{-s}{l}\left(\frac{p^\alpha}{n}\right)^s$. $\mathrm{DMR}^{\mathrm{RT}}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}}}}$ is defined by the quasi-shuffle equation and, for all words w, w',

$$h(w \text{ ш } w') = h(\mathrm{shft}_*(S_Y(w)w')) \tag{33}$$

iii) We prove by that (32) and (33) are equivalent by analyzing the parameters they depend on : we prove a triple equivalence involving these equations and this one : for all words, u, w, w' :

$$h(uw \text{ ш } w') = h(w \text{ ш } \mathrm{shft}_*(S_Y(u)w')) \tag{34}$$

5.2 (II-2) From algebraic relations of multiple harmonic sums to those of p-adic multiple zeta values [J5]

5.2.1 The two points of view

We have two different poins of view on the explicitness of p-adic multiple zeta values :
1) By the formulas (15) and (16), p-adic multiple zeta values are characterized as the coefficients of the "harmonic Frobenius" transforming har_n into $\mathrm{har}_{p^\alpha n}$. If our goal is to prove algebraic relations on $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$, we only have to consider the equation (15) involving $\circ^{\mathrm{DR,RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}$.
2) By the formulas (17) and (19) exchanging $\zeta_{p,\alpha}$ and har_{p^α}.

The point of view 1), which keeps track of the fact that the Frobenius is an automorphism of the fundamental groupoid, is the most natural. Applying the point of view 2) is

[38] Let $\Delta_{\text{ш}}$ the "shuffle coproduct", defined as the continuous multiplicative map $\mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \to \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$ satisfying $\Delta_{\text{ш}}(e_i) = 1 \otimes e_i + e_i \otimes 1$ for $i = 0, 1$; for $f \in \mathbb{Q}_p\langle\langle e_0, e_1\rangle\rangle$, the shuffle equation amounts to $\Delta_{\text{ш}}(f) = f \otimes f$, and the shuffle equation modulo products amounts to $\Delta_{\text{ш}}(f) = 1 \otimes f + f \otimes 1$; whence $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha}e_1\Phi_{p,\alpha}$ satisfies the shuffle equation modulo products

connected to the idea of viewing sequences of har_{p^α} as periods, which required II-1 to be justified and clarified, and which still needs to be formalized (see II-3). We are going to use both these points of view.

5.2.2 Main results

Let $T^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}}$ be the orbit of $\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}$ for $\circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}$; by the freeness of $\circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}$ (Theorem 2) it is a torsor under $\mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1)$. We call adjoint quasi-shuffle relation the variant of the quasi-shuffle relation which is part of the equations defining the scheme $\mathrm{DMR}_{0,\mathrm{Ad}}$ of II-1.

Theorem 5
i) Let $h \in T^{\mathrm{DR-RT}}_{\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}}$, and $g \in \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1)$ such that h and $\tilde{h} = g \circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} h$ satisfy the quasi-shuffle relation. Then g satisfies the adjoint quasi-shuffle relation.
ii) The map Σ^{RT} sends a solution to the quasi-shuffle relation to a solution to the adjoint quasi-shuffle relation.
Moreover, we have somewhat analogous statements related to the integral shuffle relations.

Bringing together i) resp. ii) with equation (15), resp. equation (17), both from Theorem 2, and with the fact that multiple harmonic sums satisfy the quasi-shuffle relation, proves in two different ways, and through explicit formulas which is what we aimed for, that $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}$ satisfies the adjoint quasi-shuffle relation. This is a double answer to what we can call the "adjoint variant" of the question of Deligne and Goncharov of §1.4.2.

5.2.3 Strategy of proof

We write the strategy of proof for the quasi-shuffle part.
i) We write the quasi-shuffle relation for $\tilde{h}$: $\tilde{h}(w)\tilde{h}(w') = \tilde{h}(w * w')$, and we translate it in terms of g and h, through the equation $\tilde{h} = g \circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} h$.
When we encounter a product $h(z')h(z'')$, we linearize it by the quasi-shuffle relation of h, writing it as $h(z' * z'')$. It remains a linear relation between the maps $h(w) : n \in \mathbb{N} \mapsto h_n(w) \in \mathbb{Q}_p$, with coefficients in a ring of power series of n, which are actually overconvergent over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, by the bounds of valuations of g.
By a lemma of Ünver ([U4], Proposition 2.0.3) the maps $\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}(w)$ are free over the ring of overconvergent analytic functions of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. For any $g \in \mathrm{Ad}_{\tilde{\Pi}_{1,0}(\mathbb{Q}_p)_\Sigma}(e_1)$, the map $f \mapsto g \circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}} f$ is a pro-unipotent linear invertible operator, and it thus preserves the property of freeness above.
Thus for any h in the orbit of $\mathrm{har}_{\mathbb{N}}$ for $\circ^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}_{\mathrm{har}}$, all coefficients of the relation above are zero. The vanishing of the coefficients of $\mathrm{har}_n(\emptyset) = 1$ is the adjoint quasi-shuffle relation for $\Phi^{-1}_{p,\alpha} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}$.
ii) The quasi shuffle relation, which is true for multiple harmonic sums $\mathrm{har}_n(s_d, \ldots, s_1)$, remains true for "localized" multiple harmonic sums $\mathrm{har}_n(\pm t_d, \ldots, \pm t_1)$, (defined in §4.2.6) ; this combined with the formula of elimination of positive powers (§4.2.6) yields a version of the quasi-shuffle relation for the coefficients $\mathcal{B}$ of the map of elimination of positive

powers.
On the other hand, $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ satisfies certain properties of symmetry with respect to the variables $(s_d, \dots, s_1)$, and more generally, to certain combinatorial indices subjacent to the explicit formula for $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ written in [J2].
Bringing together these two facts give the result.

Example 5.3. In depth (1,1), we have the following incarnation of the quasi-shuffle relation for the coefficients of the elimination of positive powers : $\mathcal{B}_b^{l_2+l_1} + \mathcal{B}_b^{l_2,l_1} + \mathcal{B}_b^{l_1,l_2} = \sum_{\substack{b',b''\geq 0 \\ b'+b''=b}} \mathcal{B}_{b'}^{l_1}\mathcal{B}_{b''}^{l_2}$, for $b, l_1, l_2 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $1 \leq b \leq l_1 + l_2 + 2$.
On the other hand, one can see on equation (25) of Example 4.6, which expresses the formula for $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ in depth 2, that certain lines of the formula are unchanged, resp. multiplied by -1 when we exchange s_2 and s_1.
These two facts brought together, and combined with the other formulas of Example 4.5, Example 4.6 for $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{DR\text{-}RT}}$ and $\circ_{\mathrm{har}}^{\mathrm{RT}}$ in depth one and two imply the adjoint quasi-shuffle relation in depth $(1,1)$ for $\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha}$:

$$(\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^b e_1 e_0^{s_2-1} e_1 e_0^{s_1-1} e_1 \ + \ e_0^b e_1 e_0^{s_1-1} e_1 e_0^{s_2-1} e_1 \ + \ e_0^b e_1 e_0^{s_2+s_1-1} e_1] =$$

$$\sum_{\substack{b',b''\geq 0 \\ b'+b''=b}} (\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^{b'} e_1 e_0^{s_1-1} e_1] \times (\Phi_{p,\alpha}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{p,\alpha})[e_0^{b''} e_1 e_0^{s_1-1} e_1]$$

5.3 (II-3) Sequences of multiple harmonic sums viewed as periods [J6]

We essentialize separately the three types of computations, DR, DR - RT and RT, and we explain how this leads to a formalization of the idea that sequences of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums are periods.

5.3.1 (DR) A setting for "completed algebraic relations"

Definition 5.4. For any $s_d, \dots, s_1 \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let (see equation (18)) the motivic prime weighted multiple harmonic sum

$$\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{\mathrm{mot}}(s_d, \dots, s_1) = (\Phi_{\mathrm{mot}}^{-1} e_1 \Phi_{\mathrm{mot}})\Big[\frac{1}{1-e_0} e_1 e_0^{s_d-1} e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1} e_1\Big]$$

where Φ_{mot} refers to the generating series of Goncharov's motivic multiple zeta values [Go1].

Conjecture 5.5. Any relation expressing the vanishing of a weight-adically and p-adically convergent sum of numbers $\mathrm{har}_{p^{\mathbb{N}^*}}(w)$ or $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha}(w)$ is still true for $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^{\mathbb{N}^*}}^{\mathrm{mot}}$.

This can be rewritten as the injectivity of a certain "completed" period map.
This can also be re-interpreted in the following framework. In view of analyzing later the rational coefficients of completed algebraic relations, let us consider an increasing ring filtration $\mathrm{Fil} = (\mathrm{Fil}_s)_{s\in\mathbb{N}}$ ($\mathrm{Fil}_s \mathrm{Fil}_t = \mathrm{Fil}_{s+t}$, $\mathrm{Fil}_s \subset \mathrm{Fil}_{s+1}$) on $\mathbb{Q}$ by sub-$\mathbb{Z}$-modules.

Definition 5.6. i) For any filtration Fil as above, let $\mathcal{O}(\Pi_{1,0})^{\mathrm{Fil}}$ be $\mathcal{O}(\Pi_{1,0})$ viewed as a filtered module over the filtered ring $(\mathbb{Q}, \mathrm{Fil})$.
ii) For any $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we $\mathcal{O}(\Pi_{1,0})^{\mathrm{Fil}}_{\leq d}$ the subring of $\mathcal{O}(\Pi_{1,0})^{\mathrm{Fil}}$ generated by words of depth at most d.

We obtain two variants of $\Pi_{1,0}$, by considering only the points of $\Pi_{1,0}$ only in rings A equipped with a decreasing separated ring filtration $(A_s)_{s\geq 0}$ ($A_{s+1} \subset A_s$, $\cap_s A_s = 0$, $A_s A_t \subset A_{s+t}$), which are compatible with the structures above. This extends to all base-points by the isomorphisms with $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}})$ (§2.1.1).

5.3.2 (DR - RT) Localization of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\})$

We recall that e_i, $i = \{0,1\}$, resp. a word over e_0, e_1 is associated with the integration operator $f \mapsto \int f \frac{dz}{z-i}$, resp. the composition of these operators, from the right to the left. Thus, formally, e_i^{-1} is associated to the map $f \mapsto (z-i)\frac{df}{dz}$.

Definition 5.7. Let $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle^{\mathrm{loc}} = \mathbb{Q}\langle e_0^{\pm 1}, e_1^{\pm 1}\rangle$ be the localization of the non-commutative ring $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$, equipped with the concatenation product, at the multiplicative part generated by e_0 and e_1. Let $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,DR}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0,1,\infty\}, \omega_{\mathrm{DR}})^{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ be the set of maps $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle^{\mathrm{loc}} \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ whose restriction to $\mathbb{Q}\langle e_0, e_1\rangle$ satisfy the shuffle equation, and which satisfy the equations encoding the equations obtained from taking iterated derivatives or integrals of the shuffle equation of multiple polylogarithms. Same definition at all base-points.

We will denote by $\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{loc}}$, the functions obtained as in Definition 2.12 but from words of the type $e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, not necessarily in $\mathbb{N}^*$: this provides an indexation of the generalization of multiple harmonic sums involving positive or negative powers the indices $n_1, \dots, n_d$, appearing in §4.2.6 : the formula for the series expansion of $\mathrm{Li}[e_0^{s_d-1}e_1 \dots e_0^{s_1-1}e_1]$ in Proposition 2.13 remains true for $s_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.
Moreover, $e_0^{-1} - e_1^{-1}$ corresponds to $\frac{d}{dz}$, and multiple harmonic sums are values at 0 of certain "localized multiple polylogarithms" : we have $\sum_{0<n_1<\dots<n_d} \frac{1}{n_1^{t_1}\dots n_d^{t_d}} =$
$n^l \,\mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{loc}}\Big[\frac{(e_0^{-1}-e_1^{-1})^n}{n!}e_0^{l-1}e_1e_0^{t_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{t_1-1}e_1\Big](0) = \mathrm{Li}^{\mathrm{loc}}\Big[\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{(e_0^{-1}-e_1^{-1})^m}{m!}e_0^{t_d-1}e_1\dots e_0^{t_1-1}e_1\Big](0)$
Independently, the framework of taking series expansion of multiple polylogarithms leads to the conjecture :

Conjecture 5.8. Any relation expressing the vanishing of an p-adically and weight-adically convergent sum of numbers $\mathrm{har}_{p^{\mathbb{N}}}(w)$ or $\mathrm{har}_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha}(w)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, is a consequence of equations obtained from equations on multiple polylogarithms on $\mathcal{M}_{0,n}$ by taking series expansions, and from p-adic elementary operations on multiple harmonic sums, in the sense of the next paragraph.

5.3.3 (RT) The elementary framework of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums

We can state an analogue for iterated sums of the setting of integrals recalled in §1.1.2. Instead of having differential forms, domains of integration, and a series of operations, such

as the linearity of the integral and Stokes's theorem, one has the domain of summation, included in $\mathbb{N}^{\text{depth}}$, the summand, and a list of operations, some of them have been used in the five previous parts, from I-1 to II-2. The previous parts incite us to state an analogue of the conjecture of periods of Kontsevich-Zagier (Conjecture 1.5), in the particular case of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums :

Conjecture 5.9. Any relation expressing the vanishing of an p-adically and weight-adically convergent sum of numbers $\text{har}_{p^{\mathbb{N}}}(w)$ or $\text{har}_{\mathcal{P}^\alpha}(w)$, $p \in \mathcal{P}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$, can be obtained from an algebra of explicit functions containing the maps $(n_1, \ldots, n_d) \in \mathbb{N}^* \mapsto (n^{\pm t_1}, \ldots, n^{\pm t_d})$, $t_1, \ldots, t_d \in \mathbb{Z}$, certain binomial coefficients of affine functions of the n_i and factorials of the n_i, by applying the following operations :
∘ additivity the iterated sum with respect to the domain of iterated summation, splitting of the domain of summation and other relations between domains of summation (including the quasi-shuffle relation)
∘ multi-linearity of the iterated sum with respect to the summand
∘ change of variable
∘ relations between the summand
∘ the structure of complete topological field of $\mathbb{Q}_p$; taking limits, absolutely convergent sums in $\mathbb{Q}_p$, expanding $\frac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}} x^l$ in $\mathbb{Q}_p$ for $|x|_p < 1$.

5.3.4 Conclusion

In the end, our subject is the couple formed by p-adic multiple zeta values and the sequences of (not only prime) weighted multiple harmonic sums, endowed with all the equations from part I expressing the computation of Frobenius, and endowed with the usual conjecture of periods plus the conjectures above. We can call this data a generating series of "explicit p-adic periods".

6 Conclusion

Let us summarize what seem to us to be the two main messages concerning p-adic multiple zeta values. The initial question was to compute p-adic multiple zeta values and apply it to understand explicitly algebraic relations (§1.4.4).

a - Explicitness

The formulas for p-adic multiple zeta values provided by our indirect method for solving the differential equation of Frobenius are fully explicit ; they can be written concisely provided the introduction of certain combinatorial tools (§4.2) ; they also enable to visualize explicitly algebraic relations (§5.1-§5.2).

We have proved that the Frobenius, because of bounds of the valuations of its values at the canonical paths, becomes much simpler computationally when one considers a

certain limit of its parameters, and that the corresponding limit of the Frobenius is equivalent to a particular explicit structure on multiple harmonic sums. Moreover, this limit is enough to reconstruct the whole of the Frobenius structure.

b - Formulation within the motivic Galois theory of periods

The way in which we have formulated this work relies on the concepts of the motivic Galois theory of periods, as follows.
The central objects are the harmonic Ihara actions $\circ_{\text{har}}^{\text{DR}}$, $\circ_{\text{har}}^{\text{DR-RT}}$, $\circ_{\text{har}}^{\text{RT}}$ and the maps Σ^{RT}, $\Sigma_{\text{inv}}^{\text{DR}}$ expressing the comparison between them, which we have defined in part I ; they are connected to the usual Ihara action, which is itself a byproduct of the motivic Galois action on the pro-unipotent fundamental groupoid (§2.2). In part I, these objects were the way to express the result of the computation of Frobenius ; in part II the idea that these objects "reflect algebraic relations" was central ; it was used to view certain sequences of multiple harmonic sums as periods, to study their algebraic relations, and to compare their algebraic relations with those of p-adic multiple zeta values.

What we have showed is that *there exists a computation of the Frobenius which keeps a track of the motivic Galois action* ; we have then extended the motivic Galois theory of p-adic multiple zeta values to sequences of multiple harmonic sums viewed as p-adic numbers ; finally we have defined an *"explicit elementary version" of the motivic Galois theory of p-adic multiple zeta values.*

c - Other perspectives

Our theory has three other parts, III, IV, V ([J7] to [J12]) ; the features of this theory summarized above are also visible in these next parts ; we will also use some ideas and notions from this theory in other works.

Acknowledgments

I warmly thank Hidekazu Furusho for having invited me to the conference *Various aspects of multiple zeta values* held in July of 2016 in Kyoto. I also thank Pierre Cartier and Benjamin Enriquez for their support. I thank Kyoto University for hospitality and financial support during the conference. This work has been achieved at Institut de Recherche Mathématique Avancée, Université de Strasbourg and is financially supported by Labex IRMIA.

References

[AET] A. Alekseev, B. Enriquez, C. Torossian - *Drinfeld associators, braid groups and explicit solutions of the Kashiwara-Vergne equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. No.

112 (2010), 143-189.

[AkHYas] K. Akagi, M. Hirose, S. Yasuda - *Integrality of p-adic multiple zeta values and application to finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation

[An1] Y. André, *Une introduction aux motifs : motifs purs, motifs mixtes, périodes*, Panoramas et Synthèses 17, Société Mathématique de France

[An2] Y. André, *Groupes de Galois motiviques et périodes* Séminaire Bourbaki, 68ème année, 2015-2016, n°1104, Novembre 2015

[AT] A. Alekseev, C. Torossian, *The Kashiwara-Vergne conjecture and Drinfeld's associators*, Ann. of Math. (2) 175 (2012), no. 2, 415-463.

[Bel] G. V. Belyi, *On Galois extensions of a maximal cyclotomic field* Izv. Akad. Nauk USSR 43 (1979) 267-276; transi. Math. USSR Izv. 14 (1980) 247-256

[BerO] P. Berthelot, A. Ogus - *F-isocrystals and De Rham cohomology. I*, Invent Math (1983) 72 (2): 159-199

[Bes] A. Besser - *Coleman integration using the Tannakian formalism*, Math. Ann. 322 (2002) 1, 19-48.

[BesF] A. Besser, H.Furusho - *The double shuffle relations for p-adic multiple zeta values*, AMS Contemporary Math, Vol 416, (2006), 9-29.

[Cha] A. Chatzitamatiou - *On integrality of p-adic iterated integrals.* arXiv:1501.05760

[Che] K. T. Chen - *Iterated path integrals*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc, Vol. 83, number 5 (1977) 831-879

[ChiL] B. Chiarellotto, B.Le Stum - *F-isocristaux unipotents* - Compositio Math. 116, 81-110 (1999).

[Co] R. Coleman - *Dilogarithms, regulators and p-adic L-functions* - Inventiones Mathematicae, June 1982, Vol. 69, Issue 2, pp.171-208

[De1] P. Deligne, *Equations différentielles à points singuliers réguliers* - Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 163, Springer (1970)

[De2] P. Deligne, *Le groupe fondamental de la droite projective moins trois points*, Galois Groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ (Berkeley, CA, 1987), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ. 16, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.

[De3] P. Deligne, *Catégories tannakiennes*, The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. II, 111-195, Progr. Math., 87, 1990.

[DeGo] P. Deligne, A.B. Goncharov, *Groupes fondamentaux motiviques de Tate mixtes*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. 38.1 , 2005, pp. 1-56

[Dr] V. Drinfeld - *On quasi-triangular quasi-Hopf algebra and a group closely connected to* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$, Algebra i Analiz, 2:4 (1990), 149-181

[Fa1] G. Faltings - *p-adic Hodge theory*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society, 1 (1) (1988) 255-299

[Fa2] G. Faltings - *Crystalline cohomology and p-adic Galois representations*, in Igusa, Jun-Ichi, Algebraic analysis, geometry, and number theory, Baltimore, MD: John Hopkins University Press, pp.25-80

[Fo] J.M. Fontaine - *Sur certains types de représentations p-adiques du groupe de Galois d'un corps local ; construction d'un anneau de Barsotti-Tate*, Annals of Mathematics, 115

(3) : 529-577 (1982)

[Fu1] H. Furusho - *p-adic multiple zeta values I – p-adic multiple polylogarithms and the p-adic KZ equation*, Inventiones Mathematicae, Volume 155, Number 2, 253-286, (2004).

[Fu2] H. Furusho - *p-adic multiple zeta values II – Tannakian interpretations*, Amer. J. Math, Vol 129, No 4, (2007), 1105-1144.

[Fu3] H. Furusho - *Around associators* - Automorphis forms and Galois representations, 2, 105-117, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. 415 (2014), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge

[Fu4] H. Furusho - *Double shuffle for associators*, Annals of Math., Vol. 174 (2011), No. 1, 341-360.

[FuJ] H. Furusho, A.Jafari - *Regularization and generalized double shuffle relations for p-adic multiple zeta values*, Compositio Math. Vol 143, (2007), 1089-1107.

[FKMT] H. Furusho, Y. Komori, K. Matsumoto, H. Tsumura - *Fundamentals of p-adic multiple L-functions and evaluation of their special values* - to appear in Selecta Mathematica

[Go1] A. Goncharov - *Multiple polylogarithms and mixed Tate motives*, arXiv:0103059 (2001)

[Go2] A. Goncharov - *Galois symmetries of fundamental groupoids and non commutative geometry*, Duke Math. J. (2005), 1-56.

[GoMan] Goncharov, Manin - *Multiple ζ-motives and moduli spaces $\overline{\mathcal{M}_{0,n}}$*, Compositio Mathematica, Vol. 140, Issue 1, Jannuary 2004, pp.1-44

[Gr1] A. Grothendieck - *On the de Rham cohomology of algebraic varieties*, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S. 29 (1966), 95-103.

[Gr2] A. Grothendieck - *Esquisse d'un programme* (1984)

[Ho] M. Hoffman - *Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums*,

[Hu-MS] A. Huber, S. Müller-Stach - *Periods and Nori motives (with contributions of B. Friedrich and J. von Wangenheim)*, book in preparation, http ://home.mathematik.uni-freiburg.de/arithgeom/preprints/buch/mantel.html

[I] Y. Ihara - *Braids, Galois groups, and some arithmetic functions*, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. I, II (Kyoto, 1990), 99-120, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 1991.

[J1] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - I-1 : Direct solution of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius*, arXiv:1503.08756

[J2] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - I-2 : Indirect solution of the equation of horizontality of Frobenius*, arXiv:1501.04893

[J3] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - I-3 : The number of iterations of Frobenius viewed as a variable*, arXiv:1610.09107

[J4] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - II-1 : Standard algebraic relations of prime weighted multiple harmonic sums and adjoint multiple zeta values*, arXiv:1412.5099

[J5] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - II-2 : From algebraic relations of multiple harmonic sums to those of cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values*, arXiv:1601.01158

[J6] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *II-3 : Sequences of multiple harmonic sums viewed as periods*, arXiv:1601.01159
[J7] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *III-1,* in preparation
[J8] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *III-2,* in preparation
[J9] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *IV-1,* in preparation
[J10] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *IV-2,* in preparation
[J11] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *V-1,* in preparation
[J12] D. Jarossay - *An explicit theory of* $\pi_1^{\mathrm{un,crys}}(\mathbb{P}^1 - \{0, \mu_N, \infty\})$ - *V-2,* in preparation
[Kat] K. Kato, *Logarithmic structures of Fontaine-Illusie*, in Algebraic Analysis, Geometry, and Number Theory, J.-I. Igusa ed., 1988, Johns Hopkins University, pp. 191-224.
[KaZ] M. Kaneko, D. Zagier - *Finite multiple zeta values*, in preparation
[Ko] M. Kontsevich - *Holonomic D-modules in positive characteristic* Japan. J. Math, 1-25 (2009)
[KoZ] M. Kontsevich, D. Zagier, *Periods*, in "Mathematics Unlimited, Year 2001 and Beyond", Eds. B. Engquist and W. Scmidt, Springer, 2001
[L] M. Levine - *Tate motives and the vanishing conjectures for algebraic K-theory*, in: Algebraic K-theory and algebraic topology (Lake Louise, 1991), p. 167-188. NATO Adv. Sci. but. Ser. C Math. Phys. 407, Kluwer (1993).
[Mah] K. Mahler - *An interpolation series for continuous functions of a p-adic variable*, Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik 199 (1958). 23-34.
[Maz] B. Mazur - *Frobenius and the Hodge filtration* Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 78: 653-667
[Mo] J. Morgan, *The algebraic topology of smooth algebraic varieties*, Publ. Math. IHES 48 (1978) pp. 137-204.
[O1] A. Ogus - *F-isocrystals and De Rham cohomology. II. Convergent isocrystals* Duke Mathematical Journal, 51 (4): 765-850
[O2] A. Ogus - *F-crystals, Griffiths transversality and the Hodge decomposition* Astérisque, Vol. 221 (1994), Société Mathématique de France
[Ra] G. Racinet - *Double mélange des polylogarithmes multiples aux racines de l'unité*, Publ. Math. IHES (2002) Vol. 95, p.185-231
[Ro] J. Rosen - *Asymptotic relations for truncated multiple zeta values*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) Vol. 91 (2015) 554-572
[T] T. Terasoma - *Mixed Tate motives and multiple zeta values*, Invent. Math. August 2002, VOl. 149, Issue 2, pp. 339-369
[U1] S. Ünver - *Drinfel'd-Ihara relations for p-adic multi-zeta values*, Journal of Number Theory, 133, 1435-1483, (2013)
[U2] S. Ünver - *p-adic multi-zeta values*, Journal of Number Theory, 108, 111-156, (2004).
[U3] S. Ünver - *Cyclotomic p-adic multiple zeta values in depth two*, arXiv:1302.6406
[U4] S. Ünver - *A note on the algebra of p-adic multi-zeta values*, arXiv:1410.8648
[Sch] L. Schneps, *Double shuffle and Kashiwara-Vergne Lie algebra*, J. Algebra 367 (2012), 54-74.
[Sh1] A. Shiho - *Crystalline fundamental groups. I. Isocristals on log crystalline site and log convergent site*, J. Math. Soc. Univ Tokyo 7 (2000) no. 4, 509-656

[Sh2] A. Shiho - *Crystalline fundamental groups. II. Log convergent cohomology and rigid cohomology*, J. Math. Soc. Univ. Tokyo 9 (2002), no. 1, 1-163

[So] I. Soudères - *Motivic double shuffle*, Int. J. Number Theory 06, 339 (2010)

[V] V.Vologodsky, *Hodge structure on the fundamental group and its application to p-adic integration*, Moscow Math. J. 3 (2003), no. 1, 205-247.

[W] L.C. Washintgon, *p-adic L-functions and sums of powers*, J. Number Theory - Volume 69, Issue 1, March 1998, Pages 50-61

[Yam] G. Yamashita, *Bounds for the dimension of p-adic multiple L-values spaces*, Documenta Mathematica, Extra Volume Suslin (2010) 687-723

[Zh] J. Zhao, *Mod p structure of alternating and non-alternating multiple harmonic sums*, Journal de théorie des nombres de Bordeaux, tome 22, n°3 (2010), p.299-308

Université de Strasbourg, CNRS, IRMA UMR 7501, F-67000 Strasbourg, France
e-mail : jarossay@math.unistra.fr